

***Los Alamos National Laboratory  
Community Leaders Study  
November 2012***

**Table of Contents**

**I. INTRODUCTION..... 3**  
    Methodology ..... 4  
    Executive Summary ..... 5

**II. Biggest Challenges Facing Northern New Mexico ..... 30**

**III. LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY ..... 33**


**IV. LANL PARTNERSHIPS ..... 50**

**V. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS & SUGGESTIONS ..... 58**

**VI. DEMOGRAPHICS ..... 73**

**VII. QUESTIONNAIRE ..... 75**

# I. INTRODUCTION



## Methodology

This tracking study was commissioned by Los Alamos National Laboratory. The objective of this study was to measure the Laboratory's perceived progress in maintaining community relationships and listening and responding to the needs of the communities in Northern New Mexico under its contractor, Los Alamos National Security, LLC. The study also measures changes in Community Leaders' awareness and satisfaction levels with specific Laboratory programs and activities over the past year. The results of the research will help to better shape and direct the Los Alamos National Security and Laboratory's contributions to the region in the near- and long-term future.

### The Interview

The survey instrument was designed in collaboration with LANL officials. Research & Polling, Inc. refined the survey instrument, conducted the interviews by telephone, and compiled the results. The Director of Los Alamos National Laboratory sent a letter to Community Leaders to inform them of the research objectives and to request their participation in the study. This letter also advised respondents that Research & Polling, Inc. would be contacting them in the near future. In many instances, Research & Polling scheduled a specific date and time to conduct the interview. The interviews were conducted between September 25<sup>th</sup> and October 22<sup>nd</sup>, 2012.

### The Report

This report summarizes results for each question and reports on any variances in attitude or perception, where significant, among demographic subgroups. The subgroups examined in this report include organizational sectors and county. The organizational sectors and counties were

determined by LANL and coded on the phone list provided to Research & Polling, Inc. All respondents will receive an aggregate report showing how Community Leaders responded to the survey. This report also discusses any changes in attitude or perception over the past 14 years.

### Sample Bias

A list of Community Leaders was provided by Los Alamos National Laboratory. The Community Leaders were grouped into five sectors: Government, Economic/Business, Education, Tribal, and Special Interest Groups.

To improve comparability with past studies, each year Research & Polling, Inc. weights the surveys by organizational sector and region to reflect a similar sample distribution.

	2007			2008			2009			2010			2011			2012		
Sector	# of Names Provided	# of Completed Interviews	Response Rate	# of Names Provided	# of Completed Interviews	Response Rate	# of Names Provided	# of Completed Interviews	Response Rate	# of Names Provided	# of Completed Interviews	Response Rate	# of Names Provided	# of Completed Interviews	Response Rate	# of Names Provided	# of Completed Interviews	Response Rate
<b>Special Interest Groups</b>	34	28	82%	49	40	82%	16	15	94%	6	5	83%	10	10	100%	9	9	100%
<b>Tribal</b>	49	33	67%	51	32	63%	53	33	62%	66	48	73%	72	51	71%	63	38	60%
<b>Education</b>	72	39	54%	62	46	74%	77	56	73%	68	59	87%	74	61	82%	51	48	94%
<b>Government</b>	104	55	53%	115	82	71%	79	56	71%	67	33	49%	78	48	62%	74	57	77
<b>Economic/Business</b>	181	134	74%	105	71	68%	77	64	83%	103	77	75%	93	74	80%	107	89	83
<b>Total</b>	<b>440</b>	<b>289</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>382</b>	<b>271</b>	<b>71%</b>	<b>302</b>	<b>224</b>	<b>74%</b>	<b>310</b>	<b>222</b>	<b>72%</b>	<b>327</b>	<b>244</b>	<b>75%</b>	<b>304</b>	<b>241</b>	<b>79%</b>

## Executive Summary

The past year has seen challenges for Los Alamos National Laboratory relating to reductions in the workforce and uncertainty about future Lab funding. Coupled with an economy that continues to struggle, these events may be having an impact on how some Community Leaders in the region view LANL.

The past two Community Leaders surveys recorded all-time highs in many of the areas tested, which are no doubt due to LANL's continuing support of community, education, and economic development programs, as well as improvements in communication. It appears that Community Leaders' perceptions of LANL have plateaued in many areas. And given the uncertainty about LANL's future (and that of all Federal programs), it is not surprising that there have been slight drops of public perception of LANL in some areas.

The good news is that Community Leaders' overall perception of LANL is very positive. Approximately three-quarters (72%) of Community Leaders say they have a positive opinion of LANL compared to just 2% who have an unfavorable opinion. In comparison, 78% of the Leaders had a favorable opinion of LANL in the two previous studies, which were all-time highs.

Consistent with results observed in 2010 and 2011, LANL also receives high ratings for its corporate citizenship, with 64% having a positive opinion compared to 8% who hold a negative view.

The biggest drop in perception relates to LANS. Currently, 38% of Community Leaders have a favorable opinion of LANS, while 14% have a negative opinion. This is a decline from the three previous studies in which between 45% and 49% had a favorable opinion of LANS. The biggest drop in opinion of LANS is observed among the Economic/Business Leaders. This may be attributable to the fact the economy remains weak and there is uncertainty about LANL's future funding.

### Communication

LANL has done a good job of reaching out to the community in recent years, but there is still some room for improvement. Currently, 68% of the Leaders say they are either *very satisfied* (32%) or *somewhat satisfied* (36%) with the methods that are available for communication with LANL regarding needs, concerns and ideas. However, one-in-four Leaders (24%) remain dissatisfied. To put this in context, however, just six years ago half of the Leaders were dissatisfied with the methods of communication available to them.

Approximately three-quarters (73%) of the Community Leaders also say they are currently either *very satisfied* (29%) or *somewhat satisfied* (44%) with the Lab's efforts to listen to the perspectives of the Northern New Mexico community, while 70% express satisfaction with the Lab's effort to respond to community concerns.

When asked in an unaided, open-ended manner what are the top ways they receive information about LANL, the Leaders surveyed are most apt to mention the monthly electronic newsletter/Connections (54%), newspapers (43%), Lab employees (20%), and word-of-mouth (14%).

When asked how they would prefer to receive information about LANL, the Leaders are most apt to mention LANL email updates (45%), monthly electronic newsletter/Connections (39%), and newspapers (10%).

Given that LANL's budget may be impacted by decisions at the Federal level, it is more important than ever to clearly communicate with the Community Leaders (and public as a whole) what is happening at the Lab and how any cuts in funding or restructuring will impact the region. LANL's importance to the regional economy cannot be understated and left in an information void, fears or uncertainties could lead to an erosion of how LANL is viewed.

### Economic and Business Issues

Overall, the vast majority of Community Leaders express satisfaction with LANL's economic impact on the region. In fact, 62% say they are *very satisfied* and another 25% are *somewhat satisfied* with the overall impact LANL has on the economy in Northern New Mexico. Furthermore, approximately two-thirds of the Leaders believe LANL's partnerships with the business community have been at least somewhat effective.

These results are similar to those observed in the two previous studies, though there has been a slight drop in satisfaction in terms of overall economic impact and perceived effectiveness of the business partnerships. This may simply be the result of the margin of error associated with any survey and the fact that LANL has enjoyed all-time highs in these ratings in the previous study. The drops could also reflect the fact the economy in general continues to struggle and, as previously stated, some Leaders may be nervous about LANL's future and how this could impact the region.

When it comes to specific economic programs such as the Venture Acceleration Fund, and the New Mexico Small Business Assistance Program, 66% of the Leaders say they are satisfied, while 17% express dissatisfaction and 17% are simply unaware of these programs. These results are also very similar to those observed in the past two studies.

The majority (61%) of Leaders also express satisfaction with LANL's Technology Transfer program, though many (27%) are unaware of the Lab's efforts in this area. Furthermore, approximately half (53%) express satisfaction with LANL's efforts to purchase more goods and services from businesses in Northern New Mexico, though 18% are dissatisfied, which is very much in line with what has been observed in the past three studies.

With the economy being the primary concern among area Community Leaders and LANL's prominent position in the region, the Lab needs to continue to inform and build relationships with the business community to help foster economic development throughout the region. A list of suggestions/comments as to how LANL can work with the business community can be found starting on page 63 of this report.

### **Educational Issues**

LANL continues to receive very high scores when it comes to its involvement with educational programs. Eighty-nine percent of the Leaders surveyed are either *very satisfied* (61%) or *somewhat satisfied* (28%) with the educational programs offered by LANL.

Furthermore, 84% of the Leaders express satisfaction with LANL's overall impact on education in Northern New Mexico, and four-in-five believe LANL's partnerships with school districts, colleges and universities in Northern New Mexico are either *very effective* (38%) or *somewhat effective* (42%).

LANL's involvement in education continues to be perceived as a real strength, though the Leaders surveyed did offer many comments and suggestions as to how LANL can further improve its outreach. As has been observed in previous studies, Leaders in the various regions would like to see more done in their respective communities. A full list of verbatim suggestions and comments pertaining to education can be found starting on page 59.

### **Quality of Life**

As has been observed in previous studies, the Community Leaders recognize LANL's involvement in the community. Overall, 58% of the Leaders say they are *very satisfied* and 22% say they are *somewhat satisfied* with LANL's involvement in Northern New Mexico through school/holiday drives, employee giving campaigns, and volunteer programs. In fact, the percentage of Leaders who say they are *very satisfied* has risen 10% compared to the results observed in the previous two studies.

Approximately three-quarters (73%) also express satisfaction with LANL's efforts to provide effective environmental stewardship, monitoring and remediation.

Furthermore, seven-in-ten of the Leaders believe LANL's partnerships with community nonprofit organizations are either *very effective* (29%) or *somewhat effective* (41%).

Leaders were asked in an unaided, open-ended manner if they have any comments relating to LANL's work with non-profit organizations. While many Leaders praised LANL's efforts in being involved in the community, they also offered some suggestions. A full list of verbatim comments can be found on page 67.

### **Government and Tribal Partnerships**

Approximately four-fifths of the Tribal Leaders surveyed believe LANL's partnerships with tribal governments and agencies are either *very effective* (18%) or *somewhat effective* (61%), compared to 16% who feel the partnerships are ineffective.

These results observed among the Tribal Leaders are a large improvement over those observed last year. However, the results among the Tribal Leaders do tend to fluctuate from year to year. In general, the Tribal Leaders tend to have a lower overall opinion of LANL than do Leaders in other sectors. This is an area LANL needs to continue to work on in building relationships.

It should also be noted that over three-quarters of the Government Leaders believe that LANL's partnerships with local county and municipal governments in Northern New Mexico are either *very* (37%) or *somewhat effective* (40%).


## **BIGGEST CHALLENGES FACING NORTHERN NEW MEXICO**

---

(TOP 9 UNAIDED RESPONSES)

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)
NON-AVAILABILITY OF GOOD JOBS	31%
ECONOMY: WEAK	21%
EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM IS POOR	16%
ILLEGAL DRUG USE	10%
FEDERAL FUNDING/FUTURE FUNDING FOR THE LABS/BUDGETS	8%
UNEMPLOYMENT/JOBS	6%
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	5%
LACK OF SKILLED LABOR/LABOR FORCE	5%
WATER SHORTAGES/RESERVES/RIGHTS	4%

Community Leaders were asked in an unaided, open-ended manner what they feel is the single biggest challenge facing Northern New Mexico today. As shown above, 31% mention the non-availability of good jobs, while another 21% cite a weak economy, 16% say the educational system is poor, 10% mention illegal drug use, and 8% mention lab funding.




MEAN †: 4.1

† THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE VERY FAVORABLE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE VERY UNFAVORABLE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.


Community Leaders were asked to rate their general impression of Los Alamos National Laboratory on a 5-point scale, where 5 is *very favorable* and 1 is *very unfavorable*. Approximately three-quarters (72%) of the Community Leaders have a favorable impression of LANL (giving a rating of 4 or 5) with 37% saying they have a *very favorable* impression. One-in-four respondents give a neutral rating of 3 and just 2% report having an unfavorable opinion of LANL (a score of 1 or 2).






Looking at the differences in opinion, both regionally and at the organizational level, we find some variations. Regionally, residents of Rio Arriba County are more apt than others to say they have a *very favorable* opinion of LANL (48%).

Looking at the organizational sectors, no significant differences are observed among the Governmental, Business or Educational leaders with three-in-four giving a favorable rating. However, less than half of the Tribal Leaders (47%) have a favorable opinion of LANL, with 42% who say they have a neutral opinion and just 8% who have an unfavorable opinion of LANL.



The graph above illustrates Community Leaders' favorable impressions (those who gave LANL a rating of 4 or 5 on a 5-point scale) from 1998 to 2012. Presently, 72% of Community Leaders have a favorable opinion of the Lab, which is a slight decline from the two previous studies which has seen all-time highs of 78% who gave a favorable rating.


It is important to note that although the Leaders' overall opinion of LANL has dropped slightly when compared to the two previous studies, this does fall just within the overall margin of error. Furthermore, the two previous studies saw all-time highs in Leaders' overall opinion of LANL and the 72% who currently have a favorable opinion is significantly higher than what was observed in most of the late 90's and early to mid-2000's



MEAN †: 3.8


† THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE OUTSTANDING RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE UNACCEPTABLE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.

Community Leaders were asked to evaluate LANL as a corporate citizen in Northern New Mexico using a 5-point scale where 5 is *outstanding* and 1 is *unacceptable*. The majority of the Community Leaders (64%) give a positive rating of 4 or 5, with 28% saying LANL is *outstanding*. Eight percent of the Leaders surveyed give LANL a negative rating of 1 or 2, while 27% have neutral or mixed feelings about LANL's corporate citizenship (a rating of 3). It should be noted that just 1% of the Community Leaders rate LANL's corporate citizenship as being *unacceptable*.




At the regional level, it is observed that Leaders in Taos County (75%) are more apt than Leaders in the other counties to rate LANL highly for its corporate citizenship.

Community Leaders in the Education sector (75%) are more apt to rate LANL highly as a corporate citizen than are Leaders in the Economic/Business (58%), Governmental (63%), and Tribal (58%) sectors.




As shown above, the majority (64%) of Community Leaders currently have a positive opinion of LANL as a corporate citizen in Northern New Mexico. This is consistent with the results observed in the three prior studies and significantly higher than was observed in the studies conducted prior to 2009.



MEAN †: 3.5

† THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE VERY FAVORABLE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE VERY UNFAVORABLE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.



Community Leaders were asked to rate their overall impression of the Laboratory's Management and Operations contractor, Los Alamos National Security, LLC. (LANS), based on a 5-point scale, where 5 is *very favorable* and 1 is *very unfavorable*. As shown above, 38% of the Leaders surveyed have a favorable impression of LANS, while 14% have an unfavorable impression and one-quarter have neutral or mixed feelings about the contractor.

As has been observed in prior studies, a relatively large percentage of Leaders (22%) have not formed an opinion of LANS. The lack of awareness of LANS is also evidenced by the 25% of Leaders who have a neutral opinion. Community Leaders in Education (29%), Special Interest (32%), and Tribal (34%) sectors are more apt than others to say they have no opinion of LANS.

### Trending Analysis

The graph on the right displays Community Leaders' impressions of Los Alamos National Security, LLC, grouping together favorable (4 and 5) and unfavorable (1 and 2) ratings from 2006 through 2012. Overall, there has been a slight decline in those who have a favorable opinion of LANS, dropping from 46% last year to 38% currently. There is also a rise in the percentage of those who say they have an unfavorable opinion of LANS when compared to last year's study, rising from 6% to 14%.

It is difficult to explain the drop in Leaders' opinion of LANS. It may have to do with fears of future budgetary issues and the voluntary reduction in the workforce. Although the sample size is relatively small, it is observed that the Economic and Education Leaders showed the biggest drop in perception of LANS. In the 2011 study, 51% of the Economic Leaders surveyed had a favorable impression compared to 36% currently. Favorability ratings among the Education Leaders fell from 49% last year to 38% currently.

## TOP WAYS OF RECEIVING INFORMATION ABOUT LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY

### TOP 10 UNAIDED RESPONSES

	2012 TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)
MONTHLY ELECTRONIC NEWSLETTER/CONNECTIONS (EMAIL)	54%
NEWSPAPERS	43%
LAB EMPLOYEES	20%
WORD OF MOUTH	14%
TELEVISION	10%
NEIGHBORS/FRIENDS/FAMILY	9%
MEDIA	9%
QUARTERLY REGIONAL LEADERS' BREAKFAST	9%
LABORATORY WEBSITE	9%
INTERNET	8%

## PREFERRED WAY OF RECEIVING INFORMATION ABOUT LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY

### TOP 9 UNAIDED RESPONSES

	2012 TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)
LANL EMAIL UPDATES	45%
MONTHLY ELECTRONIC NEWSLETTER/CONNECTIONS (EMAIL)	39%
NEWSPAPERS	10%
OTHER MEETINGS AND TALKS	6%
MAIL/LETTERS	5%
LAB EMPLOYEES	5%
INTERNET	4%
QUARTERLY REGIONAL LEADERS' BREAKFAST	4%
WON'T SAY	7%

When Community Leaders were asked in an unaided, open-ended manner, "what are the top three ways they receive information about Los Alamos National Laboratory?" the monthly electronic newsletter/Connections/email is mentioned most frequently (54%), followed by the newspaper (43%), Lab employees (20%), and word of mouth (14%).

When asked in an unaided, open-ended manner how they would *prefer* to receive information about Los Alamos National Laboratory, the Leaders are most apt to cite e-mail updates (45%), the monthly electronic newsletter/Connections/email (39%), and the newspaper (10%).

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: COMMUNICATION ISSUES

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY SATISFIED"  
TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)

	<u>VERY SATISFIED</u>	<u>SOMEWHAT SATISFIED</u>	<u>SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED</u>	<u>VERY DISSATISFIED</u>	<u>DON'T KNOW/ WON'T SAY</u>
<b>METHODS AVAILABLE TO YOU FOR COMMUNICATING WITH LANL REGARDING YOUR NEEDS, CONCERNS AND IDEAS</b>	32%	36%	18%	6%	8%
<b>EFFORTS TO LISTEN TO THE PERSPECTIVES OF THE NORTHERN NM COMMUNITIES</b>	29%	44%	14%	5%	9%
<b>EFFORTS TO RESPOND TO THE PERSPECTIVES OF THE NORTHERN NM COMMUNITIES</b>	24%	46%	17%	5%	8%

Community Leaders were asked to rate their level of satisfaction with different aspects of communication with Los Alamos National Laboratory.

Approximately two-thirds (68%) of the Leaders express satisfaction with **the methods available for communicating with LANL regarding their needs, concerns and ideas**, though 24% say they are dissatisfied. Interestingly, the Economic/Business Leaders are least apt to say they are *very satisfied* with the methods of communication that are available (18%). One-in-three Economic/Business Leaders say they are dissatisfied.

When asked to rate their level of satisfaction with LANL's **efforts to listen to the perspectives** of the Northern New Mexico communities, nearly three-quarters (73%) of the Leaders say they are either *somewhat* (44%) or *very satisfied* (29%), while 19% express dissatisfaction. Just 13% of the Tribal Leaders say they are *very satisfied* when it comes to listening and 26% express dissatisfaction.

Community Leaders were also asked to rate their satisfaction with the Lab's **efforts to respond to the perspectives** of the Northern New Mexico community. Overall, seven-in-ten Leaders express satisfaction with the Lab's responsiveness, though 22% are dissatisfied. Leaders in Government (27%), Economics/Business (26%), and Tribal Leaders (23%) are approximately three-times as likely as Educational Leaders (8%) to express dissatisfaction with the Lab's efforts to respond to their perspectives.



## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: COMMUNICATION ISSUES

### TRENDING ANALYSIS

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY SATISFIED" (2012)  
TOTAL SAMPLE

	<u>Very Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Very Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Don't Know/ Won't Say</u>
<b>Methods available to you for communicating with LANL regarding your needs, concerns and ideas</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>18%</b>	<b>6%</b>	<b>8%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	30%	41%	17%	4%	7%
September 2010 (n=222)	28%	39%	18%	6%	9%
October 2009 (n=224)	33%	40%	16%	5%	6%
October 2008 (n=271)	22%	34%	26%	10%	8%
October 2007 (n=289)	27%	30%	23%	14%	6%
September 2006 (n=298)	20%	23%	27%	22%	7%
September 2005 (n=404)	22%	30%	24%	16%	9%
September 2004 (n=262)	19%	39%	23%	16%	2%
September 2003 (n=199)	24%	38%	21%	12%	5%
September 2002 (n = 238)	23%	46%	15%	12%	5%
<b>Efforts to listen to the perspectives of the Northern NM Community*</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>9%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	33%	46%	13%	2%	6%
September 2010 (n=222)	26%	54%	11%	2%	7%
October 2009 (n=224)	32%	44%	13%	2%	9%
October 2008 (n=271)	27%	37%	22%	6%	7%
October 2007 (n=289)	20%	42%	18%	12%	8%
September 2006 (n=298)	16%	28%	27%	19%	10%
September 2005 (n=404)	19%	35%	22%	15%	10%
September 2004 (n=262)	23%	34%	25%	11%	7%
September 2003 (n=199)	25%	37%	19%	11%	8%
September 2002 (n = 238)	27%	41%	17%	9%	6%
December 2001 (n = 204)	20%	41%	20%	11%	8%
September 2000 (n = 162)	30%	35%	14%	15%	6%

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: COMMUNICATION ISSUES (CONTINUED)

### TRENDING ANALYSIS

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY SATISFIED" (2012)  
TOTAL SAMPLE

	<u>Very Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Very Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Don't Know/ Won't Say</u>
<b>Efforts to respond to the perspectives of the Northern NM Community*</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>46%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>8%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	25%	51%	17%	2%	5%
September 2010 (n=222)	26%	46%	19%	4%	5%
October 2009 (n=224)	28%	44%	15%	2%	11%
October 2008 (n=271)	21%	41%	22%	8%	8%
October 2007 (n=289)	16%	37%	24%	15%	8%
September 2006 (n=298)	10%	24%	29%	27%	10%
September 2005 (n=404)	13%	35%	27%	15%	10%
September 2004 (n=262)	11%	36%	26%	15%	12%
September 2003 (n=199)	12%	36%	27%	13%	12%
September 2002 (n= 238)	14%	45%	26%	8%	7%
December 2001 (n = 204)	13%	35%	26%	13%	13%
September 2000 (n = 162)	16%	43%	19%	15%	7%

### Trending Analysis

Overall, current satisfaction levels with LANL communication and response efforts are similar to results observed in the past three studies. In the past five years, LANL has made great strides in improving communication with Community Leaders, though there is still some room for improving satisfaction given that approximately one-in-five Leaders currently express dissatisfaction with the methods of communication available to them and with LANL's effort to respond to the perspectives of the Northern NM Community.

\*It should be noted that, in previous studies, Community Leaders were asked to rate their satisfaction with LANL's efforts to listen and respond to the concerns of their community. Starting in 2008, Leaders were asked to rate their level of satisfaction with the Lab's efforts to listen and respond to the perspectives of the community. This may account for some of the improvement observed with these two questions when compared to previous studies.

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: ECONOMIC ISSUES

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY SATISFIED"  
TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)

	<u>Very Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Very Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Don't Know/ Won't Say</u>
<b>The overall impact on the economy in Northern New Mexico</b>	62%	25%	7%	2%	3%
<b>The Lab's economic development programs such as business mentoring, the Venture Acceleration Fund, and the New Mexico Small Business Assistance Program</b>	32%	34%	12%	5%	17%
<b>Lab's Technology Transfer Program</b>	29%	32%	9%	3%	27%
<b>Efforts to purchase more goods and services from businesses in Northern New Mexico communities during the last year (LANL)</b>	17%	36%	11%	7%	30%

Community Leaders were asked to rate their level of satisfaction with several aspects of LANL's involvement in the Northern New Mexico business community. Approximately nine-in-ten of the Community Leaders are either *somewhat* (25%) or *very satisfied* (62%) with LANL's **overall impact on the Northern New Mexico economy**, compared to 9% who say they are dissatisfied.

As has been observed in previous studies, Tribal Leaders are less likely than others to say they are *very satisfied* with LANL's overall impact on the local economy (42%). In comparison, 74% of Educational Leaders, 71% of Governmental Leaders, and 59% of Economic/Business Leaders say they are *very satisfied* with LANL's economic impact.

Nearly two-thirds (66%) of the Leaders surveyed expressed satisfaction with the Lab's **economic development programs such as business mentoring, the Venture Acceleration Fund, and the Small Business Assistance Program**. Seventeen percent say they are dissatisfied with LANL's economic programs. It should be noted that while the majority (76%) of Economic/Business Leaders are satisfied with LANL's economic programs, 19% express dissatisfaction.

The majority of Leaders (61%) say they are either *very satisfied* (29%) or *somewhat satisfied* (32%) with the **Lab's Technology Transfer program**, compared to 12% who are dissatisfied. Many of the Leaders surveyed have no opinion (27%). Among the Business/Economic Leaders, 62% say they are at least *somewhat satisfied* with the technology transfer program compared to 13% who say they are dissatisfied, though many (24%) have no opinion.

When asked about their satisfaction with the Lab's efforts to **purchase goods and services from Northern New Mexico businesses during the past year**, the majority (53%) express satisfaction, though 18% say they are dissatisfied and 30% have no opinion. Fifty-five percent of the Economic/Business Leaders say they are satisfied with the Lab's efforts to purchase goods and services from businesses in Northern New Mexico, while 27% express dissatisfaction.

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: ECONOMIC ISSUES

### TRENDING ANALYSIS RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY SATISFIED" (2012) TOTAL SAMPLE

	<u>Very Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Very Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Don't Know/ Won't Say</u>
<b>The overall impact on the economy (LANL)</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>62%</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>3%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	63%	30%	4%	1%	2%
September 2010 (n=222)	60%	31%	5%	2%	1%
October 2009 (n=224)	58%	34%	5%	2%	1%
October 2008 (n=271)	60%	27%	7%	3%	3%
September 2006 (n=298)	53%	28%	8%	5%	5%
September 2005 (n=404)	40%	37%	9%	9%	5%
September 2004 (n=262)	49%	27%	12%	8%	4%
September 2003 (n=199)	46%	33%	10%	6%	5%
September 2002 (n = 238)	51%	28%	10%	5%	6%
December 2001 (n = 204)	45%	33%	10%	4%	8%
September 2000 (n = 162)	41%	43%	9%	6%	2%
<b>The Lab's economic development programs such as Northern New Mexico Connect, New Mexico Small Business Assistance, Supplier Forums and Lab Start</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>17%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	27%	37%	13%	3%	20%
September 2010 (n=222)	26%	38%	11%	4%	21%
October 2009 (n=224)	31%	31%	13%	3%	22%
<b>Lab's technology transfer program</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>9%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>27%</b>
<b>Efforts to purchase more goods and services from businesses in Northern New Mexico communities (LANL)</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>36%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>7%</b>	<b>30%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	16%	35%	16%	4%	29%
September 2010 (n=222)	14%	40%	18%	7%	21%
October 2009 (n=224)	24%	27%	13%	6%	31%
October 2008 (n=271)	16%	27%	19%	10%	29%
October 2007 (n=289)	14%	27%	21%	16%	22%
September 2006 (n=298)	10%	21%	29%	20%	20%
September 2005 (n=404)	13%	31%	21%	15%	20%
September 2004 (n=262)	12%	31%	23%	10%	24%
September 2003 (n=199)	10%	29%	24%	12%	26%
September 2002 (n = 238)	20%	30%	17%	8%	25%
December 2001 (n = 204)	24%	30%	18%	8%	20%
September 2000 (n= 162)	19%	41%	15%	5%	19%

#### Trending Analysis

As shown above, satisfaction levels with LANL in regards to the economy are similar to those observed in the three previous studies in terms of those who say they are either *somewhat satisfied* or *very satisfied* with the economic related issues tested.

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: EDUCATIONAL ISSUES

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY SATISFIED"  
TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)

	<u>Very Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Very Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Don't Know/ Won't Say</u>
<b>Educational programs offered by LANL such as the Bradbury Museum, Math and Science Academy, Supercomputing Challenge, scholarships, and partnerships with New Mexico colleges and universities</b>	61%	28%	4%	1%	5%
<b>Overall impact that the Lab has on education in Northern New Mexico</b>	42%	42%	8%	3%	4%

The vast majority (89%) of Community Leaders are either *very satisfied* (61%) or *somewhat satisfied* (28%) with **educational programs offered by LANL such as the Bradbury Museum, Math and Science Academy, Adventures in Supercomputing Challenge, scholarships, and partnerships with New Mexico colleges and universities**.

It should be noted that 91% of the Education Leaders say they are satisfied with education programs offered by the Lab, with 69% who are *very satisfied*. Furthermore, 73% of the Leaders in Los Alamos say they are *very satisfied* with LANL's educational programs.

Eighty-four percent of the Leaders also express satisfaction with **the overall impact that the Lab has on education in Northern New Mexico** (42% are *very satisfied*), while 11% express dissatisfaction. It is important to mention that 91% of Leaders in the Education sector are satisfied with the Lab's impact on education in the Northern New Mexico community (55% are *very satisfied*).

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: EDUCATION ISSUES

### TRENDING ANALYSIS

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY SATISFIED" (2012)

TOTAL SAMPLE

	<u>Very Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Very Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Don't Know/ Won't Say</u>
<b>Educational programs offered by LANL</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>61%</b>	<b>28%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>5%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	58%	28%	7%	0%	6%
September 2010 (n=222)	55%	30%	7%	1%	6%
October 2009 (n=224)	61%	26%	5%	*	8%
October 2008 (n=271)	50%	34%	4%	1%	10%
October 2007 (n=289)	44%	33%	6%	2%	15%
September 2006 (n=298)	42%	30%	7%	4%	17%
September 2005 (n=404)	43%	27%	6%	2%	22%
September 2004 (n=262)	29%	31%	10%	3%	27%
September 2003 (n=199)	24%	34%	13%	4%	25%
September 2002 (n = 238)	27%	31%	11%	4%	27%
December 2001 (n = 204)	29%	27%	11%	2%	31%
September 2000 (n = 162)	26%	42%	7%	4%	21%
<b>The overall impact that the Lab has on education in the Northern New Mexico community</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>3%</b>	<b>4%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	40%	44%	9%	1%	6%
September 2010 (n=222)	40%	40%	12%	2%	6%
October 2009 (n=224)	43%	39%	11%	3%	5%

\* Less than 1% reported.

### Trending Analysis

As shown in the table above, overall satisfaction levels with LANL in regards to education issues are very similar to those observed in the past three studies.

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: QUALITY OF LIFE

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY SATISFIED"  
TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)

	<u>Very Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Very Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Don't Know/ Won't Say</u>
<b>Involvement in Northern NM through employee giving campaigns, school and holiday drives, and volunteer programs</b>	58%	22%	8%	1%	11%
<b>Efforts to provide effective environmental stewardship, monitoring and remediation</b>	29%	44%	15%	5%	6%

Community Leaders were asked to rate their level of satisfaction with the Lab's involvement with quality of life related issues. As shown above, four-fifths of the Leaders surveyed express satisfaction with the **Lab's involvement in Northern New Mexico through employee giving campaigns, school and holiday drives, and volunteer programs**, with 58% saying they are *very satisfied*. The vast majority of Leaders in Los Alamos (92%) express satisfaction with LANL's involvement in charitable programs (78% say they are *very satisfied*).

Approximately three-quarters (73%) of Community Leaders also express satisfaction with LANL's efforts to **provide effective environmental stewardship, monitoring and remediation**, though 20% are dissatisfied. Regionally, we observe that 90% of Leaders in Los Alamos are satisfied with the Lab's environmental efforts compared to 71% of the Leaders in Santa Fe and 68% in Rio Arriba. Also, 31% of the Tribal Leaders express dissatisfaction with LANL's environmental stewardship, though the large majority (63%) say they are either *very satisfied* (13%) or *somewhat satisfied* (50%).

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: QUALITY OF LIFE

### TRENDING ANALYSIS

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY SATISFIED" (2012)

TOTAL SAMPLE

	<u>Very Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Very Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Don't Know/ Won't Say</u>
<b>Involvement in Northern NM through employee giving campaigns, school and holiday drives, and volunteer programs</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>58%</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>11%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	48%	31%	8%	1%	12%
September 2010 (n=222)	48%	33%	9%	2%	9%
October 2009 (n=224)	51%	30%	7%	*	11%
October 2008 (n=271)	48%	33%	10%	1%	7%
October 2007 (n=289)	44%	30%	7%	3%	15%
September 2006 (n=298)	33%	33%	12%	3%	19%
<b>Efforts to provide effective environmental stewardship, monitoring and remediation</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>44%</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>6%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	35%	43%	14%	2%	5%
September 2010 (n=222)	28%	45%	14%	5%	8%
October 2009 (n=224)	25%	45%	13%	4%	14%
October 2008 (n=271)	28%	35%	17%	9%	11%
October 2007 (n=289)	26%	33%	19%	9%	14%
September 2006 (n=298)	20%	39%	20%	10%	12%
September 2005 (n=404)	20%	39%	17%	9%	16%

\* Less than 1% reported.

### Trending Analysis

There is a notable improvement in the percentage of Community Leaders who say they are *very satisfied* with LANL's involvement in Northern New Mexico through employee giving campaigns, school and holiday drives, and volunteer programs, rising from 48% observed in the two previous studies to 58% currently.

The Leaders' perceptions of LANL's environmental stewardship, monitoring and remediation is similar to results observed in the past two studies.



## EFFECTIVENESS OF LANL PARTNERSHIPS

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY EFFECTIVE" (2012)  
TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)

	<u>Very Effective</u>	<u>Somewhat Effective</u>	<u>Somewhat Ineffective</u>	<u>Very Ineffective</u>	<u>Don't Know/ Won't Say</u>
<b>School districts, colleges and universities in Northern New Mexico</b>	38%	42%	11%	2%	6%
<i>Educational Leaders</i>	49%	39%	6%	5%	2%
<b>Community non-profit organizations</b>	29%	41%	11%	2%	17%
<b>Business community in Northern New Mexico</b>	25%	43%	14%	4%	13%
<i>Economic/Business Leaders</i>	19%	44%	27%	7%	2%
<b>Local county and municipal governments in Northern New Mexico</b>	24%	43%	13%	2%	18%
<i>Government Leaders</i>	37%	40%	9%	3%	12%
<b>State government agencies</b>	23%	39%	13%	0%	25%
<i>Government Leaders</i>	32%	39%	20%	0%	11%
<b>The State Legislature</b>	22%	32%	13%	1%	32%
<i>Government Leaders</i>	32%	31%	16%	3%	17%
<b>Tribal governments and tribal agencies</b>	15%	33%	12%	1%	39%
<i>Tribal Leaders</i>	18%	61%	16%	0%	5%

Community Leaders were asked how they would rate the effectiveness of LANL's partnerships with various entities and organizations. As shown above, four-in-five Leaders feel LANL's **partnerships with the school districts, colleges and universities** in Northern New Mexico are effective (38% say they are *very effective*), compared to 13% who feel these partnerships are ineffective. Eighty-eight percent of the Education Leaders believe the Lab's partnerships with educational institutions are effective, with 49% saying they are *very effective*.

Seven-in-ten Leaders believe LANL's **partnerships with community non-profit organizations** are effective, compared to 13% that say they are ineffective.

Approximately two-thirds (68%) of the Community Leaders surveyed feel the Lab's **partnerships with the business community** in Northern New Mexico are effective, while 18% feel they are *ineffective*. It should be noted that 63% of the Business Leaders say LANL's partnerships with the business community are effective, while 34% feel they are ineffective. This is a large drop from the 79% of Business Economic Leaders who said the partnerships were effective in last year's study.

Two-thirds of the Leaders surveyed also believe the Lab's **partnerships with local county and municipal governments** are effective, while 15% feel they are ineffective and 18% have not formed an opinion. Over three-quarters (77%) of Government Leaders feel that LANL's partnerships with local governments are effective, while just 12% say they are ineffective. Interestingly, 32% of the Leaders in Los Alamos County believe LANL's partnerships with local governments are *somewhat* ineffective and only 12% say they are *very effective*.

Just over three-fifths (62%) of the Community Leaders surveyed feel LANL's partnerships **with State government agencies** are effective, while 13% say they are ineffective and 25% have no opinion. Furthermore, 54% of the Leaders also believe LANL's partnerships with the **State Legislature** are effective, though many (32%) have no opinion on the matter.

Finally, approximately half the Community Leaders (48%) believe the Lab's **partnerships with tribal governments and tribal agencies** are effective, while 13% feel they are ineffective. Nearly two-fifths (39%) of the Leaders have not formed an opinion about LANL's partnerships with tribal governments and agencies. It should be noted that two-thirds of Tribal Leaders feel that LANL's partnerships with tribal governments and agencies are either *very effective* (18%) or *somewhat effective* (61%), while 16% feel these partnerships are ineffective.

## EFFECTIVENESS OF LANL PARTNERSHIPS (SUMMARY TABLE)

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY EFFECTIVE" (2012)  
TOTAL SAMPLE

	<u>Very Effective</u>	<u>Somewhat Effective</u>	<u>Somewhat Ineffective</u>	<u>Very Ineffective</u>	<u>Don't Know/ Won't Say</u>
<b>School districts, colleges and universities in Northern New Mexico</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>38%</b>	<b>42%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>6%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	37%	39%	11%	3%	9%
September 2010 (n=222)	35%	43%	12%	2%	9%
October 2009(n=224)	39%	35%	14%	1%	11%
October 2008 (n=271)	33%	37%	15%	1%	13%
October 2007 (n=289)	29%	33%	13%	4%	21%
September 2006 (n=298)	19%	33%	18%	8%	23%
September 2005 (n=404)	24%	32%	16%	7%	21%
September 2004 (n=262)	21%	35%	16%	6%	22%
September 2003 (n=199)	26%	34%	13%	9%	18%
September 2002 (n=238)	28%	36%	11%	6%	19%
December 2001 (n=204)	23%	40%	17%	2%	17%
September 2000 (n=162)	26%	45%	8%	6%	16%
<b>Community non-profit organizations</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>29%</b>	<b>41%</b>	<b>11%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>17%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	35%	37%	11%	1%	17%
September 2010 (n=222)	31%	37%	13%	2%	17%
October 2009 (n=224)	31%	40%	8%	4%	17%
<b>Business community in Northern New Mexico</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>14%</b>	<b>4%</b>	<b>13%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	24%	50%	14%	2%	9%
September 2010 (n=222)	25%	42%	13%	7%	12%
October 2009(n=224)	25%	39%	17%	4%	16%
October 2008 (n=271)	19%	38%	25%	6%	13%
October 2007 (n=289)	12%	39%	23%	14%	12%
September 2006 (n=298)	9%	31%	30%	17%	13%
September 2005 (n=404)	17%	34%	21%	15%	13%
September 2004 (n=262)	13%	38%	22%	12%	14%
September 2003 (n=199)	11%	42%	26%	9%	12%
September 2002 (n = 238)	22%	33%	22%	8%	15%
December 2001 (n = 204)	16%	41%	28%	8%	7%
September 2000 (n = 162)	6%	56%	20%	7%	12%

## EFFECTIVENESS OF LANL PARTNERSHIPS (SUMMARY TABLE) (CONTINUED)

RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY EFFECTIVE" (2012)  
TOTAL SAMPLE

	<u>Very Effective</u>	<u>Somewhat Effective</u>	<u>Somewhat Ineffective</u>	<u>Very Ineffective</u>	<u>Don't Know/ Won't Say</u>
<b>Local governments in Northern New Mexico</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>24%</b>	<b>43%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>2%</b>	<b>18%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	20%	44%	14%	3%	19%
September 2010 (n=222)	19%	43%	15%	2%	21%
October 2009(n=224)	20%	40%	16%	1%	24%
October 2008 (n=271)	15%	43%	18%	4%	20%
October 2007 (n=289)	11%	43%	19%	6%	21%
September 2006 (n=298)	10%	29%	24%	10%	27%
September 2005 (n=404)	14%	35%	21%	9%	21%
September 2004 (n=262)	12%	34%	28%	10%	16%
September 2003 (n=199)	16%	38%	23%	8%	15%
September 2002 (n=238)	15%	44%	18%	5%	18%
December 2001 (n=204)	13%	45%	23%	4%	15%
September 2000 (n=162)	10%	63%	13%	7%	7%
<b>State government agencies</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>23%</b>	<b>39%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>0%</b>	<b>25%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	19%	41%	7%	1%	32%
September 2010 (n=222)	23%	43%	7%	2%	25%
October 2009(n=224)	20%	42%	9%	1%	28%
October 2008 (n=271)	16%	37%	19%	3%	25%
October 2007 (n=289)	15%	36%	14%	3%	32%
September 2006 (n=298)	11%	31%	19%	4%	35%
September 2005 (n=404)	12%	35%	14%	5%	34%
September 2004 (n=262)	12%	31%	16%	4%	36%
September 2003 (n=199)	14%	30%	14%	5%	37%
September 2002 (n=238)	15%	32%	13%	5%	36%
December 2001 (n=204)	12%	35%	17%	2%	34%
September 2000 (n=162)	9%	40%	5%	5%	40%
<b>The State Legislature</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>32%</b>	<b>13%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>32%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	18%	38%	8%	1%	35%
September 2010 (n=222)	20%	39%	6%	3%	31%
October 2009(n=224)	18%	39%	9%	-	35%
October 2008 (n=271)	21%	40%	15%	1%	23%
October 2007 (n=289)	18%	37%	11%	2%	32%
September 2006 (n=298)	13%	29%	15%	5%	38%
September 2005 (n=404)	16%	31%	15%	4%	34%
September 2004 (n=262)	16%	28%	13%	6%	36%
September 2003 (n=199)	17%	28%	14%	6%	36%
September 2002 (n=238)	12%	31%	16%	5%	36%
December 2001 (n=204)	7%	28%	17%	4%	43%
September 2000 (n=162)	7%	31%	12%	5%	45%

## EFFECTIVENESS OF LANL PARTNERSHIPS (SUMMARY TABLE) (CONTINUED)


RANKED BY HIGHEST PERCENTAGE "VERY EFFECTIVE" (2012)  
TOTAL SAMPLE

	<u>Very Effective</u>	<u>Somewhat Effective</u>	<u>Somewhat Ineffective</u>	<u>Very Ineffective</u>	<u>Don't Know/ Won't Say</u>
<b>Tribal governments and tribal agencies</b>					
<b>October 2012 (n=241)</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>33%</b>	<b>12%</b>	<b>1%</b>	<b>39%</b>
October 2011 (n=244)	19%	32%	9%	3%	37%
September 2010 (n=222)	18%	30%	8%	3%	41%
October 2009(n=224)	15%	32%	6%	3%	44%
October 2008 (n=271)	13%	24%	16%	4%	44%
October 2007 (n=289)	15%	27%	16%	2%	40%
September 2006 (n=298)	7%	23%	12%	8%	50%
September 2005 (n=404)	10%	26%	14%	4%	45%
September 2004 (n=262)	8%	24%	10%	5%	53%
September 2003 (n=199)	10%	27%	7%	5%	51%
September 2002 (n=238)	12%	23%	10%	7%	48%
December 2001 (n=204)	8%	32%	19%	5%	36%
September 2000 (n=162)	7%	35%	11%	3%	43%

### *Trending Analysis*

As shown on the previous two pages, the perceived effectiveness of LANL's partnerships is similar to those observed in the last several studies.

## II. BIGGEST CHALLENGES FACING NORTHERN NEW MEXICO



## BIGGEST CHALLENGES FACING NORTHERN NEW MEXICO

QUESTION 1: WHAT WOULD YOU SAY IS THE SINGLE BIGGEST CHALLENGE FACING NORTHERN NEW MEXICO TODAY?

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)		TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)
NON-AVAILABILITY OF GOOD JOBS	31%	NORTHERN NEW MEXICO REGION MUST WORK	
ECONOMY: WEAK	21%	COOPERATIVELY IN TERMS OF WHAT LANL BRINGS	1%
EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM IS POOR	16%	STABLE ECONOMIC GROWTH/ECONOMIC STABILITY	1%
ILLEGAL DRUG USE	10%	TOURISM IS RUINING THE AREA	1%
FEDERAL FUNDING/FUTURE FUNDING FOR THE LABS/BUDGETS	8%	A BAD ATTITUDE BY HUMANS ABOUT WILDLIFE	1%
UNEMPLOYMENT	6%	ALCOHOLISM	1%
ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT	5%	THE DIVERSITY OF CULTURES BETWEEN THE PUEBLO	
LACK OF SKILLED LABOR/LABOR FORCE	5%	AND HISPANIC COMMUNITIES/CULTURAL CHALLENGES	1%
WATER SHORTAGES/RESERVES/RIGHTS	4%	LACK OF COMMUNICATION WITH LANL	1%
ECONOMIC DIVERSIFICATION	3%	LACK OF SUPPORT FOR SMALL BUSINESS	1%
LACK OF ECONOMIC OPPORTUNITIES	3%	TRANSPORTATION	1%
NOT ENOUGH PRIVATE BUSINESS/LACK OF INDUSTRY	3%	CLIMATE CHANGE	1%
POVERTY	3%	POLITICS/DISHARMONY IN LOCAL POLITICS	1%
KEEPING THE LAB HEALTHY/UNCERTAINTY ABOUT THE LABS	3%	WATER QUALITY/POLLUTION	1%
DROUGHT	3%	CCMR BEING DENIED	1%
LACK OF TRAINING FOR GOOD JOBS	3%	NO LONGER HAVE STRONG CHAMPIONS AT FEDERAL	
ECONOMIC IMPACT FROM FEDERAL FUNDING FOR/DEPENDENCY ON LANL/LABS	2%	LEVEL TO ADVOCATE FOR LOS ALAMOS	1%
LAYOFFS/SUSTAINABLE JOBS	2%	CREATE A BIOSCIENCE SPIN-OFF ON LANL'S TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM LIKE	
ECONOMIC DISPLACEMENT AS A RESULT OF DOWNSIZING OF THE LABS	2%	CAPITALIZING ON THE SUCCESSES IN THE SILICON VALLEY	*
FUNDING EDUCATION	2%	MISSION OF THE LABS	*
EDUCATIONAL DISPARITY BETWEEN INDIGENOUS PEOPLE AND NEWER POPULATION	1%	LACK OF RESOURCES	*
SEQUESTRATION	1%	VIABILITY OF COMMUNITY	*
LACK OF EFFECTIVE WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS/ TRAINING FOR UNEMPLOYED	1%	NORTHERN NEW MEXICO CULTURE LOST ITS IDENTITY	
FIRE/RISK OF FIRE	1%	DUE TO GROWTH OF CHEAP CORPORATIONS	*
AVAILABILITY OF LOW INCOME/AFFORDABLE HOMES	1%	CHANGE IN POPULATION AT SCHOOL	*
LOW WAGES	1%	ROADS/STREETS/HIGHWAYS ARE BAD	*
BASIC INFRASTRUCTURE IS LACKING	1%	GOVERNMENT INFUSION INTO PRIVATE BUSINESS	*
LOW PAY FOR TEACHERS	1%	LACK OF FUNDING FOR SOCIAL PROGRAMS	*
ACCESS TO EDUCATIONAL OPPORTUNITIES THAT ARE PROVIDED	1%	PEOPLE IN THE COUNTRY ARE UNAWARE OF OUR EXISTENCE	*
THE NEGATIVE PUBLICITY	1%	OPEN POLLINATED SEEDS TO PROVIDE SAFE FOODS TO SUSTAIN OUR COMMUNITIES	*
SOCIAL PROBLEMS IN GENERAL	1%	DIGITAL DIVISION (RURAL COMMUNITIES LACKING BROADBAND AND INTERNET)	
		PREVENTS DISTANCE LEARNING	*

\* LESS THAN 1% REPORTED

## BIGGEST CHALLENGES FACING NORTHERN NEW MEXICO (CONTINUED)


QUESTION 1 (CONTINUED): WHAT WOULD YOU SAY IS THE SINGLE BIGGEST CHALLENGE FACING NORTHERN NEW MEXICO TODAY?

COST OF LIVING IS HIGH/UNREASONABLE	*	USDA PARK AND FOREST SERVICES NEED TO BETTER	
LANL SUPPORTS THE COMMUNITIES SO IT SHOULD GET FUNDING FOR SPECIAL BUILDING IN ESPAÑOLA	*	COLLABORATE WITH NORTHERN NM	*
DISPLACEMENT OF LONG-TERM NATIVES BY PEOPLE WHO DON'T HAVE TO WORK, I.E. TRUST FUND BABIES	*	TAXES ARE HIGH/UNREASONABLE	*
STORAGE OF RADIOACTIVE MATERIALS	*	OVER-REGULATION OF BUSINESS	*
COST OF HOUSING IS HIGH/UNREASONABLE	*	FAMILY PLANNING	*
NO LONG TERM ECONOMIC PLANNING	*	SANTA FE CITY POLICIES REGARDING BUSINESS	*
POLITICIANS IN GENERAL	*	ECONOMIC DISPARITY	*
ENVIRONMENTAL/POLLUTED AIR	*	EXODUS OF PEOPLE/ONGOING LOSS OF NORTHERN POPULATION	
GOVERNMENT/POLITICAL LEADERSHIP IS CROOKED	*	TO LARGER CITIES	*
PREVENTABLE HEALTH DISPARITIES	*	QUALITY OF TEACHERS	*
EDUCATION BOUNDARIES	*	HEALTHCARE REFORM	*
UNDUE EMPHASIS ON OIL/GAS	*	ACCESS TO CAPITAL FUNDING	*
IGNORING RENEWABLE ENERGIES	*	LAS VEGAS RESIDENTS DEPENDENT UPON LOCAL GOVERNMENT	*
LOCAL GOVERNMENT BUDGET DEFICIT	*	LACK OF INVESTMENT	*
NEW CENTURY SOFT SKILLS TRAINING INCENTIVES FOR TEACHERS	*	FEDERAL BUDGET CRISIS	*
HIGH SCHOOL DROPOUT RATE	*	DIVERSIFICATION OF POPULATION	*
BIT OF A DISCONNECT BETWEEN OUR SCHOOLS AND BUSINESSES HERE AND NEEDS OF THE WORKFORCE	*	ADDRESSING GOALS IS CHALLENGING	*
EXPECTATIONS WE HAVE FOR OUR KIDS	*	LACK OF TRUST	*
PREVIOUS CULTURE WAS RAISING EXPECTATIONS	*	DON'T KNOW	1%

\* LESS THAN 1% REPORTED



### III. LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY



## IMPRESSION OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY

QUESTION 2: GENERALLY, WHAT IS YOUR IMPRESSION OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY? USING A 5-POINT SCALE IN WHICH 5 IS VERY FAVORABLE AND 1 IS VERY UNFAVORABLE, WHAT IS YOUR IMPRESSION OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY?

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
5 - VERY FAVORABLE	37%	42%	29%	32%	37%	30%	48%	41%	44%	33%	35%	34%	49%
4	35%	33%	37%	42%	33%	38%	25%	27%	33%	42%	40%	13%	38%
3	25%	22%	30%	22%	30%	25%	23%	32%	19%	23%	24%	42%	13%
2	2%	2%	3%	2%	0%	4%	4%	0%	2%	2%	2%	5%	0%
1 - VERY UNFAVORABLE	0%	1%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	3%	0%
DON'T KNOW	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%
WON'T SAY	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MEAN †	4.1	4.1	3.9	4.0	4.1	4.0	4.2	4.1	4.2	4.1	4.1	3.7	4.4

† THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE VERY FAVORABLE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE VERY UNFAVORABLE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.

## EVALUATION OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY AS A CORPORATE CITIZEN IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO

QUESTION 3: COMPANIES, LIKE INDIVIDUALS, CAN BE MEMBERS OF THE COMMUNITY. HOW WOULD YOU RATE LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY AS A CORPORATE CITIZEN IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO? PLEASE USE A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 MEANS LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY IS OUTSTANDING AND 1 MEANS THEY ARE UNACCEPTABLE.

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
5 - OUTSTANDING	28%	30%	25%	28%	28%	25%	34%	24%	22%	26%	42%	21%	35%
4	36%	30%	44%	35%	33%	34%	34%	51%	41%	32%	33%	37%	43%
3	27%	30%	24%	30%	32%	27%	21%	19%	29%	31%	21%	26%	23%
2	7%	8%	6%	4%	7%	10%	11%	0%	9%	7%	4%	10%	0%
1 - UNACCEPTABLE	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	0%	3%	0%
DON'T KNOW	1%	1%	2%	0%	0%	4%	0%	6%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%
WON'T SAY	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
MEAN †	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.8	3.9	4.1	3.8	3.8	4.1	3.7	4.1

† THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE OUTSTANDING RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE UNACCEPTABLE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.

## IMPRESSION OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL SECURITY, LLC.

QUESTION 4: USING A 5-POINT SCALE WHERE 5 IS VERY FAVORABLE AND 1 IS VERY UNFAVORABLE, WHAT IS YOUR OVERALL IMPRESSION OF THE LABORATORY'S MANAGEMENT AND OPERATIONS CONTRACTOR, LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL SECURITY, LLC?

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
5 - VERY FAVORABLE	15%	20%	9%	11%	10%	19%	26%	13%	19%	10%	23%	13%	13%
4	23%	20%	28%	24%	30%	24%	19%	13%	31%	26%	15%	18%	33%
3	25%	26%	23%	18%	29%	22%	27%	45%	26%	31%	18%	19%	22%
2	9%	12%	6%	12%	10%	8%	8%	0%	11%	10%	6%	13%	0%
1 - VERY UNFAVORABLE	5%	5%	5%	3%	10%	0%	7%	0%	3%	4%	9%	3%	0%
DON'T KNOW	22%	18%	28%	32%	11%	26%	11%	30%	10%	19%	29%	34%	32%
WON'T SAY	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%
MEAN †	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.4	3.2	3.7	3.6	3.5	3.6	3.3	3.5	3.4	3.9

† THE MEAN SCORE IS DERIVED BY TAKING THE AVERAGE SCORE BASED ON THE 5-POINT SCALE. THE VERY FAVORABLE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 5; THE VERY UNFAVORABLE RESPONSE IS ASSIGNED A VALUE OF 1. THE DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY RESPONSES ARE EXCLUDED FROM THE CALCULATION OF THE MEAN.

## TOP WAYS OF RECEIVING INFORMATION ABOUT LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY

QUESTION 5: WHAT ARE THE TOP THREE WAYS THAT YOU RECEIVE INFORMATION ABOUT LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY?

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)		TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)		TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)
MONTHLY ELECTRONIC NEWSLETTER/ CONNECTIONS (EMAIL)	54%	BULLETINS	2%	LAB PROGRAM AT UNIVERSITY	*
NEWSPAPERS	43%	LIAISON (LEGISLATIVE, GOVERNMENT, TRIBAL, COMMUNITY)	2%	COMMUNICATION BETWEEN THE COUNTY MANAGER AND LANL	*
LAB EMPLOYEES	20%	NEWSLETTERS	1%	TAN	*
WORD OF MOUTH	14%	COMMUNITY COALITION	1%	BOULDER CHAMBER	*
TELEVISION	10%	SOCIAL NETWORKING/SOCIAL MEDIA	1%	ENTREPRENEURIAL NETWORK	*
NEIGHBORS/FRIENDS/FAMILY	9%	BUSINESS WEEKLY/NM BUSINESS WEEKLY	1%	DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES	*
MEDIA	9%	BROCHURES	1%	WE ARE JUST DOWN THE HILL AND ARE AWARE OF WHAT LANL IS DOING	*
QUARTERLY REGIONAL LEADERS' BREAKFAST	9%	THROUGH THE NONPROFIT COMMUNITY	1%	LOS ALAMOS REPORT	*
LABORATORY WEBSITE	9%	DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY	1%	THROUGH COMMUNICATION WITH TECH TRANSFER	*
INTERNET	8%	1663 MAGAZINE	1%	CURRENT CLIENTS	*
OTHER MEETINGS/TALKS	6%	COMMITTEE HEARINGS	1%	GENERAL CONTACTS	*
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT OUTREACH/ EDUCATIONAL OUTREACH	5%	NEWS IN GENERAL	1%	RDC	*
RADIO	5%	AS A STATE LEGISLATOR/COUNTY COMMISSIONER	1%	THROUGH STATE OF NEW MEXICO WORK FORCE SOLUTIONS OFFICE	*
ORGANIZATIONS RELATED TO LANL/LANL FOUNDATION/LANL COALITIONS	4%	NEWSPAPER ADVERTISING	1%	THROUGH GOVERNOR'S OFFICE	*
PRESS RELEASES	4%	LOS ALAMOS COMMERCE AND DEVELOPMENT CORP.	*	ACTIVISTS	*
I WORK THERE	4%	CONSORTIUM	*	BUSINESS COMMUNITY IN SANTA FE	*
LABORATORY MEETINGS	3%	PRIVATE CONTRACTORS ASSOCIATION	*		
CHAMBER OF COMMERCE	2%	ANNOUNCEMENTS	*	DON'T KNOW	*
MAIL/LETTERS	2%	CONCERNED CITIZENS FOR NUCLEAR SAFETY	*		
NM CONNECT	2%	H.O.P.E., HONOR OUR PEOPLE'S EXISTENCE	*		
		FLYERS	*		

\* LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

## PREFERRED WAYS OF RECEIVING INFORMATION ABOUT LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY

QUESTION 6: IN WHAT WAYS WOULD YOU PREFER TO RECEIVE INFORMATION ABOUT LANL AND THE PROGRAMS AND SERVICES THE LAB OFFERS?

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)		TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)		TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)
LANL email updates	45%	Mr. Rick Ulibarri	1%	I need a contact who will review my writing on "7 Target Industry Clusters"	*
Monthly electronic newsletter/ Connections (email)	39%	More publicity	1%	Please give us 3 weeks' notice so I can attend	*
Newspapers	10%	Neighbors/friends/family	1%	Los Alamos Report	*
Other meetings/talks	6%	Bulletins from the labs	1%	Through the Chamber	*
Mail/letters	5%	Direct texts (to cell phones)	1%	Through the community	*
Lab employees	5%	Community program through LANL	1%	Outline of LANL's major areas affecting bits and pieces and salaries	*
Internet	4%	Volunteers	1%	Top management continued efforts to explain retaining employee position	*
Quarterly regional leaders' breakfast	4%	High level managers brief public and private governing bodies	*	Explain environmental details on Plutonium Project	*
Laboratory website	3%	Publication like Sandia Lab news	*	Explain hazardous waste storage	*
Outreach/more outreach marketing	3%	RDC	*	Workforce Solutions Office	*
Word of mouth	3%	Other partnerships	*	Boards	*
Direct meetings with tribal/community leaders	3%	Through Governor's office	*	Business community in Santa Fe	*
Media	2%	Through the nonprofit community	*		
Adequate now/no changes	2%	Announcements	*		
Laboratory meetings	2%	Representatives visiting school	*		
News releases	2%	City Hall Council	*		
Social networking/Twitter/LANL Facebook	1%	I work there	*	Won't say	7%
Television	1%	Through internal operations	*	Don't know	3%
LANL come talk to us/direct communication	1%	LANL Community Coalition	*		
Radio	1%	LANL oversight committee that we had in the past	*		

\* LESS THAN 1% REPORTED.

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO LISTEN TO THE PERSPECTIVES OF THE NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES

QUESTION 7: I'M GOING TO READ YOU A LIST OF ITEMS ABOUT LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY AND PLEASE TELL ME HOW SATISFIED YOU ARE WITH EACH ONE. WOULD YOU SAY YOU ARE VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED, OR VERY DISSATISFIED WITH: **THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO LISTEN TO THE PERSPECTIVES OF THE NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES**

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
VERY SATISFIED	29%	33%	24%	35%	27%	20%	31%	26%	29%	31%	38%	13%	23%
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	44%	44%	44%	42%	36%	43%	48%	62%	44%	42%	44%	56%	0%
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	14%	13%	15%	12%	23%	10%	12%	6%	14%	18%	2%	13%	48%
VERY DISSATISFIED	5%	6%	3%	5%	2%	7%	7%	0%	3%	2%	4%	13%	0%
DON'T KNOW	9%	5%	14%	7%	11%	18%	2%	6%	8%	7%	11%	5%	28%
WON'T SAY	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO RESPOND TO THE PERSPECTIVES OF THE NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES

QUESTION 8: I'M GOING TO READ YOU A LIST OF ITEMS ABOUT LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY AND PLEASE TELL ME HOW SATISFIED YOU ARE WITH EACH ONE. WOULD YOU SAY YOU ARE VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED, OR VERY DISSATISFIED WITH: **THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO RESPOND TO THE PERSPECTIVES OF THE NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES**

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
VERY SATISFIED	24%	25%	22%	23%	32%	22%	23%	13%	33%	20%	30%	13%	22%
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	46%	44%	50%	52%	37%	43%	46%	57%	34%	48%	49%	61%	23%
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	17%	18%	15%	16%	16%	9%	23%	25%	21%	19%	6%	18%	36%
VERY DISSATISFIED	5%	7%	2%	2%	9%	6%	6%	0%	6%	7%	2%	5%	0%
DON'T KNOW	7%	5%	10%	5%	6%	18%	2%	6%	5%	5%	12%	3%	19%
WON'T SAY	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%



## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: THE OVERALL IMPACT THAT THE LAB HAS ON THE ECONOMY OF THE NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES

QUESTION 9: I'M GOING TO READ YOU A LIST OF ITEMS ABOUT LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY AND PLEASE TELL ME HOW SATISFIED YOU ARE WITH EACH ONE. WOULD YOU SAY YOU ARE VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED, OR VERY DISSATISFIED WITH: **THE OVERALL IMPACT THAT THE LAB HAS ON THE ECONOMY OF THE NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES**

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
VERY SATISFIED	62%	63%	61%	66%	53%	57%	70%	68%	71%	59%	74%	42%	57%
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	25%	22%	30%	26%	38%	16%	20%	19%	20%	26%	18%	37%	43%
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	7%	7%	7%	7%	5%	10%	6%	6%	5%	9%	2%	13%	0%
VERY DISSATISFIED	2%	3%	1%	1%	0%	6%	2%	7%	2%	2%	2%	5%	0%
DON'T KNOW	2%	3%	1%	0%	2%	8%	2%	0%	2%	2%	4%	2%	0%
WON'T SAY	1%	1%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO PROVIDE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP, MONITORING AND REMEDIATION

**QUESTION 10:** I'M GOING TO READ YOU A LIST OF ITEMS ABOUT LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY AND PLEASE TELL ME HOW SATISFIED YOU ARE WITH EACH ONE. WOULD YOU SAY YOU ARE VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED, OR VERY DISSATISFIED WITH: **THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO PROVIDE EFFECTIVE ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP, MONITORING, AND REMEDIATION**

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
VERY SATISFIED	29%	29%	27%	26%	34%	26%	35%	13%	39%	29%	24%	13%	48%
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	44%	47%	41%	45%	56%	37%	33%	61%	35%	48%	47%	50%	23%
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	15%	14%	17%	17%	3%	18%	21%	20%	12%	13%	13%	26%	20%
VERY DISSATISFIED	5%	6%	5%	7%	5%	4%	7%	0%	7%	6%	4%	5%	0%
DON'T KNOW	6%	3%	10%	6%	3%	12%	5%	7%	5%	3%	12%	5%	9%
WON'T SAY	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: THE LAB'S INVOLVEMENT IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO THROUGH EMPLOYEE GIVING CAMPAIGNS, SCHOOL AND HOLIDAY DRIVES, AND VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS

QUESTION 11: I'M GOING TO READ YOU A LIST OF ITEMS ABOUT LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY AND PLEASE TELL ME HOW SATISFIED YOU ARE WITH EACH ONE. WOULD YOU SAY YOU ARE VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED, OR VERY DISSATISFIED WITH: **THE LAB'S INVOLVEMENT IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO THROUGH EMPLOYEE GIVING CAMPAIGNS, SCHOOL AND HOLIDAY DRIVES, AND VOLUNTEER PROGRAMS**

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
VERY SATISFIED	58%	53%	66%	51%	78%	53%	59%	50%	46%	58%	78%	42%	80%
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	22%	24%	19%	26%	14%	17%	29%	19%	28%	22%	10%	32%	20%
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	8%	12%	3%	7%	8%	8%	10%	13%	9%	9%	9%	8%	0%
VERY DISSATISFIED	1%	1%	1%	0%	0%	4%	2%	0%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%
DON'T KNOW	10%	10%	9%	16%	0%	16%	0%	18%	13%	9%	4%	16%	0%
WON'T SAY	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	3%	0%

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: THE OVERALL IMPACT THAT THE LAB HAS ON EDUCATION IN THE NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES

QUESTION 12: I'M GOING TO READ YOU A LIST OF ITEMS ABOUT LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY AND PLEASE TELL ME HOW SATISFIED YOU ARE WITH EACH ONE. WOULD YOU SAY YOU ARE VERY SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT SATISFIED, SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED, OR VERY DISSATISFIED WITH: **THE OVERALL IMPACT THAT THE LAB HAS ON EDUCATION IN THE NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES**

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
VERY SATISFIED	42%	38%	47%	41%	43%	41%	44%	39%	37%	40%	55%	34%	31%
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	42%	47%	35%	41%	50%	36%	36%	61%	41%	39%	36%	53%	69%
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	8%	8%	9%	7%	5%	10%	16%	0%	12%	10%	6%	5%	0%
VERY DISSATISFIED	3%	3%	3%	4%	3%	2%	2%	0%	3%	4%	3%	0%	0%
DON'T KNOW	4%	3%	7%	7%	0%	10%	2%	0%	5%	6%	0%	8%	0%
WON'T SAY	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO PURCHASE GOODS AND SERVICES FROM BUSINESSES IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES DURING THE LAST YEAR

*QUESTION 20: Please rate if you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with Los Alamos National Laboratory's efforts in the following areas: **THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO PURCHASE GOODS AND SERVICES FROM BUSINESSES IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES DURING THE LAST YEAR***

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
VERY SATISFIED	17%	20%	12%	13%	23%	18%	17%	14%	17%	16%	19%	10%	35%
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	36%	38%	32%	44%	32%	28%	28%	44%	52%	39%	17%	35%	23%
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	11%	15%	5%	8%	12%	8%	20%	6%	12%	16%	4%	11%	10%
VERY DISSATISFIED	7%	7%	6%	4%	9%	8%	8%	0%	7%	11%	2%	5%	0%
DON'T KNOW	30%	19%	45%	30%	24%	36%	27%	36%	10%	19%	57%	39%	32%
WON'T SAY	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%

**EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES:  
THE EDUCATION PROGRAMS OFFERED BY LANL SUCH AS THE BRADBURY MUSEUM, MATH AND SCIENCE ACADEMY, SUPERCOMPUTING CHALLENGE, SCHOLARSHIPS, AND PARTNERSHIPS WITH NEW MEXICO COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES**

*QUESTION 21: Please rate if you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with Los Alamos National Laboratory's efforts in the following areas: THE EDUCATION PROGRAMS OFFERED BY LANL SUCH AS THE BRADBURY MUSEUM, MATH AND SCIENCE ACADEMY, SUPERCOMPUTING CHALLENGE, SCHOLARSHIPS, AND PARTNERSHIPS WITH NEW MEXICO COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES*

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
VERY SATISFIED	61%	57%	66%	62%	73%	51%	58%	50%	54%	59%	69%	53%	100%
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	28%	33%	22%	24%	25%	29%	32%	50%	31%	28%	22%	40%	0%
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	4%	4%	5%	6%	0%	6%	6%	0%	5%	3%	6%	5%	0%
VERY DISSATISFIED	1%	2%	1%	0%	3%	2%	2%	0%	2%	1%	3%	0%	0%
DON'T KNOW	5%	4%	6%	9%	0%	10%	2%	0%	7%	9%	0%	2%	0%
WON'T SAY	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: THE METHODS AVAILABLE FOR YOU TO COMMUNICATE WITH LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY TO VOICE YOUR NEEDS, CONCERNS, AND IDEAS

*QUESTION 22: Please rate if you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with Los Alamos National Laboratory's efforts in the following areas: THE METHODS AVAILABLE FOR YOU TO COMMUNICATE WITH LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY TO VOICE YOUR NEEDS, CONCERNS, AND IDEAS*

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
VERY SATISFIED	32%	35%	28%	32%	30%	21%	36%	58%	39%	18%	53%	29%	13%
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	36%	37%	35%	39%	25%	49%	39%	12%	34%	44%	21%	47%	20%
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	18%	19%	17%	16%	30%	14%	17%	12%	18%	19%	16%	21%	26%
VERY DISSATISFIED	6%	5%	6%	6%	7%	6%	4%	0%	5%	13%	0%	0%	0%
DON'T KNOW	7%	3%	13%	6%	7%	8%	4%	18%	3%	6%	10%	3%	41%
WON'T SAY	1%	1%	1%	1%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	1%	0%	0%	0%

## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: THE LAB'S ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT PROGRAMS SUCH AS BUSINESS MENTORING, THE VENTURE ACCELERATION FUND, AND THE NEW MEXICO SMALL BUSINESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

**QUESTION 23:** Please rate if you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with Los Alamos National Laboratory's efforts in the following areas: ***The Lab's economic development programs such as business mentoring, the Venture Acceleration Fund, and the New Mexico Small Business Assistance Program***

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
VERY SATISFIED	32%	32%	33%	37%	22%	32%	30%	50%	33%	38%	29%	24%	32%
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	34%	36%	31%	34%	32%	39%	30%	43%	41%	38%	18%	42%	20%
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	12%	12%	12%	8%	23%	8%	14%	0%	10%	12%	14%	8%	26%
VERY DISSATISFIED	5%	6%	3%	1%	5%	10%	8%	0%	7%	7%	2%	2%	0%
DON'T KNOW	17%	14%	20%	21%	18%	8%	18%	7%	7%	5%	37%	24%	22%
WON'T SAY	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%




## EVALUATION OF SPECIFIC LANL ATTRIBUTES: THE LAB'S TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM

QUESTION 24: Please rate if you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with Los Alamos National Laboratory's efforts in the following areas: **THE LAB'S TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM**

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
VERY SATISFIED	29%	28%	30%	28%	27%	26%	30%	38%	23%	29%	33%	26%	44%
SOMEWHAT SATISFIED	32%	35%	28%	39%	25%	39%	22%	32%	30%	33%	25%	44%	33%
SOMEWHAT DISSATISFIED	9%	11%	7%	5%	12%	2%	18%	12%	18%	11%	2%	6%	0%
VERY DISSATISFIED	3%	4%	2%	1%	8%	4%	2%	0%	5%	2%	5%	0%	0%
DON'T KNOW	26%	21%	34%	26%	28%	25%	27%	13%	23%	22%	36%	24%	23%
WON'T SAY	1%	2%	0%	0%	0%	4%	0%	6%	2%	2%	0%	0%	0%

# IV. LANL PARTNERSHIPS



## EFFECTIVENESS OF LANL PARTNERSHIPS: BUSINESS COMMUNITY IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO

**QUESTION 13: GENERALLY, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY PARTNERSHIPS? WOULD YOU SAY THE FOLLOWING PARTNERSHIPS HAVE BEEN VERY EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE OR VERY INEFFECTIVE? LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY'S PARTNERSHIP: *WITH THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO***

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
VERY EFFECTIVE	25%	27%	22%	25%	10%	24%	34%	44%	24%	19%	32%	29%	22%
SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	43%	44%	41%	47%	51%	42%	28%	43%	50%	44%	34%	42%	55%
SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE	14%	13%	16%	12%	24%	12%	16%	0%	14%	27%	4%	5%	0%
VERY INEFFECTIVE	4%	6%	2%	3%	7%	2%	6%	0%	5%	7%	2%	0%	0%
DON'T KNOW	13%	9%	19%	12%	8%	18%	16%	13%	5%	2%	28%	23%	23%
WON'T SAY	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## EFFECTIVENESS OF LANL PARTNERSHIPS: SCHOOL DISTRICTS, COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO

**QUESTION 14: GENERALLY, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY PARTNERSHIPS? WOULD YOU SAY THE FOLLOWING PARTNERSHIPS HAVE BEEN VERY EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE OR VERY INEFFECTIVE? LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY'S PARTNERSHIP: *WITH THE SCHOOL DISTRICTS, COLLEGES, AND UNIVERSITIES IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO***

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
VERY EFFECTIVE	38%	38%	40%	44%	33%	34%	38%	39%	33%	36%	49%	37%	32%
SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	42%	42%	41%	31%	53%	44%	45%	48%	43%	41%	39%	47%	46%
SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE	11%	11%	11%	12%	9%	8%	14%	13%	15%	15%	6%	5%	13%
VERY INEFFECTIVE	2%	3%	1%	2%	3%	2%	2%	0%	2%	2%	5%	0%	0%
DON'T KNOW	6%	5%	7%	10%	2%	10%	0%	0%	5%	7%	2%	10%	9%
WON'T SAY	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## EFFECTIVENESS OF LANL PARTNERSHIPS: LOCAL COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENTS IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO

**QUESTION 15:** GENERALLY, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY PARTNERSHIPS? WOULD YOU SAY THE FOLLOWING PARTNERSHIPS HAVE BEEN VERY EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE OR VERY INEFFECTIVE? LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY'S PARTNERSHIP: **WITH LOCAL COUNTY AND MUNICIPAL GOVERNMENTS IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO**

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
VERY EFFECTIVE	24%	25%	22%	26%	12%	27%	29%	25%	37%	17%	24%	21%	23%
SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	43%	43%	43%	41%	53%	32%	41%	50%	40%	47%	33%	50%	42%
SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE	13%	13%	13%	10%	32%	6%	4%	12%	9%	19%	9%	8%	26%
VERY INEFFECTIVE	2%	3%	1%	1%	0%	2%	6%	0%	3%	2%	2%	0%	0%
DON'T KNOW	18%	16%	22%	21%	3%	31%	19%	14%	10%	15%	31%	21%	9%
WON'T SAY	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## EFFECTIVENESS OF LANL PARTNERSHIPS: TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS AND TRIBAL AGENCIES

**QUESTION 16:** GENERALLY, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY PARTNERSHIPS? WOULD YOU SAY THE FOLLOWING PARTNERSHIPS HAVE BEEN VERY EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE OR VERY INEFFECTIVE? LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY'S PARTNERSHIP: **WITH TRIBAL GOVERNMENTS AND TRIBAL AGENCIES**

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
VERY EFFECTIVE	15%	18%	10%	15%	9%	18%	21%	6%	30%	10%	8%	18%	0%
SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	33%	35%	29%	31%	41%	25%	31%	45%	27%	31%	21%	61%	45%
SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE	12%	9%	16%	10%	13%	18%	11%	7%	15%	7%	11%	16%	26%
VERY INEFFECTIVE	1%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	6%	0%	3%	0%	0%	0%
DON'T KNOW	39%	35%	44%	42%	37%	37%	37%	37%	26%	49%	60%	5%	29%
WON'T SAY	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## EFFECTIVENESS OF LANL PARTNERSHIPS: STATE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES

QUESTION 17: GENERALLY, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY PARTNERSHIPS? WOULD YOU SAY THE FOLLOWING PARTNERSHIPS HAVE BEEN VERY EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE OR VERY INEFFECTIVE? LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY'S PARTNERSHIP: **WITH STATE GOVERNMENT AGENCIES**

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
VERY EFFECTIVE	23%	23%	22%	25%	23%	16%	26%	19%	32%	16%	29%	19%	13%
SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	39%	45%	31%	32%	38%	47%	39%	56%	39%	46%	21%	44%	68%
SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE	13%	13%	12%	12%	18%	19%	6%	6%	20%	11%	13%	10%	0%
VERY INEFFECTIVE	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%
DON'T KNOW	24%	18%	35%	30%	21%	17%	27%	20%	9%	27%	35%	27%	19%
WON'T SAY	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%

## EFFECTIVENESS OF LANL PARTNERSHIPS: STATE LEGISLATURE

**QUESTION 18:** GENERALLY, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY PARTNERSHIPS? WOULD YOU SAY THE FOLLOWING PARTNERSHIPS HAVE BEEN VERY EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE OR VERY INEFFECTIVE? LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY'S PARTNERSHIP: **WITH THE STATE LEGISLATURE**

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	
VERY EFFECTIVE	22%	22%	23%	24%	16%	20%	26%	32%	32%	16%	29%	19%	0%
SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	32%	35%	26%	24%	37%	41%	28%	43%	31%	34%	23%	31%	68%
SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE	13%	13%	14%	16%	19%	12%	8%	0%	16%	19%	6%	8%	0%
VERY INEFFECTIVE	1%	0%	3%	1%	3%	0%	2%	0%	3%	0%	3%	0%	0%
DON'T KNOW	31%	28%	34%	36%	25%	25%	33%	25%	15%	31%	37%	42%	32%
WON'T SAY	1%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	2%	0%	2%	0%	2%	0%	0%




## EFFECTIVENESS OF LANL PARTNERSHIPS: COMMUNITY NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS

QUESTION 19: GENERALLY, HOW WOULD YOU RATE THE EFFECTIVENESS OF LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY PARTNERSHIPS? WOULD YOU SAY THE FOLLOWING PARTNERSHIPS HAVE BEEN VERY EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE, SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE OR VERY INEFFECTIVE? LOS ALAMOS NATIONAL LABORATORY'S PARTNERSHIP: **WITH COMMUNITY NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS**

	TOTAL SAMPLE (N=241)	GENDER		COUNTY					ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR				
		MALE	FEMALE	SANTA FE	LOS ALAMOS	OTHER NEW MEXICO	RIO ARRIBA	TAOS	GOVERN- MENTAL	ECONOMIC /BUSINESS	EDUCATION	TRIBAL	SPECIAL INTEREST GROUPS
VERY EFFECTIVE	29%	29%	29%	27%	27%	26%	34%	36%	19%	36%	29%	27%	32%
SOMEWHAT EFFECTIVE	41%	41%	41%	35%	46%	47%	40%	39%	37%	40%	45%	41%	46%
SOMEWHAT INEFFECTIVE	11%	10%	12%	15%	15%	2%	8%	13%	19%	8%	8%	8%	13%
VERY INEFFECTIVE	2%	3%	0%	1%	0%	4%	5%	0%	3%	1%	4%	0%	0%
DON'T KNOW	17%	16%	18%	22%	12%	18%	13%	12%	19%	15%	14%	24%	9%
WON'T SAY	0%	1%	0%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	2%	0%	0%	0%	0%

## V. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS & SUGGESTIONS



## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS REGARDING LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE EDUCATION INITIATIVES

QUESTION 25: DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS ABOUT THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE EDUCATION INITIATIVES?

ADDITIONAL INTERNSHIPS TO HAVE STUDENTS WORK WITH LAB IN SUMMER OR IN CO-OPS.  
ADJUNCT SOME OF THEIR PROFESSIONALS.  
ALLOWING LAB EMPLOYEES TO VISIT SCHOOLS FOR ACTIVITIES SUCH AS CAREER AWARENESS, MENTORING AND VOLUNTEER WORK (E.G. SPEAKERS). CONTINUE MATH AND SCIENCE ACADEMICS, FUNDING TO ALLOW STUDENTS TO USE BRADBURY MUSEUM AND OTHER EDUCATIONAL FACILITIES.  
ANYTHING LAB DOES IS OF GREAT VALUE. GIVE EMPLOYEES TIME OFF TO SUPPORT THE SCHOOLS. CAN INTEGRATE WORLD'S RESOURCES WITH NORTHERN NEW MEXICO.  
APPRECIATE WHAT THEY DO. MY STUDENTS GO THROUGH SOME OF THEIR PROJECTS AND TRAININGS AND TIME AND MONEY FOR THIS IS VERY MUCH APPRECIATED.  
BETTER INFORMATION ABOUT HOW THEY ARE PRESENT IN THIS ARENA SO THAT OTHERS CAN RALLY AROUND THIS CAUSE.  
BROADEN THEM THROUGHOUT NORTHERN NEW MEXICO.  
CONSIDERATION OF MORE MONEY FOR SCHOOLS. WE DEPEND ON LANL SUPPORT AND GUIDANCE; CAREER EDUCATION.  
CONTINUE SCHOOL MENTORSHIP PROGRAM. HAVE LANL EMPLOYEES IMPLEMENT ACHIEVEMENT PROGRAM IN ESPANOLA SCHOOLS.  
COULD DO MORE. FUND MORE PROGRAMS WITH MORE MONEY.  
COULD GUIDE COLLEGE TO LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS MORE INSIDE AND OUTSIDE OF STEM. GET INTO THE CLASSROOMS AND SEE WHAT IS REALLY HAPPENING IN THE SCHOOLS. THERE IS A BIG DISCONNECT BETWEEN WHAT LANL HAS AND WHAT THE COMMUNITIES ACTUALLY HAVE. BIG GAP!  
CREATING AWARENESS AMONG SECTORS IN THE SCHOOLS WOULD ULTIMATELY SUPPORT JOB DEVELOPMENT. INCENTIVES FOR EDUCATION IMPROVE ALL ASPECTS OF THE COMMUNITY.  
EFFECTIVE JOB.  
EFFORTS SHOULD BE MADE TO IMPROVE EDUCATION.  
ENCOURAGE LAB STAFF TO PURSUE EDUCATION AS NEEDED. (TUITION REMISSION) ALLOW LAB STAFF TO SERVE AS FACULTY WHEN TIME. (RELEASE OR VOLUNTEER TIME.)  
EXCELLENT JOB.  
EXPAND PROGRAMS AND ABILITY AND WILLINGNESS TO ASSIST IN OTHER EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS THAT ARE NOT CURRENTLY EMPHASIZED MORE. FOR EXAMPLE: MATH, SCIENCE AND MENTORING.  
GET MORE DIRECTLY INVOLVED WITH UNM LOS ALAMOS REGARDING MAKING IT EASIER FOR INDIVIDUALS TO TEACH AT UNM LOS ALAMOS.  
GET MORE INFORMATION OUT DIRECTLY TO THE PRINCIPALS.  
GET MORE INVOLVED AT THE HIGH SCHOOL LEVEL BEFORE THEY DROP OUT.  
GET THE WORD OUT ABOUT WHAT THEIR INVOLVEMENT TRULY IS WITH EDUCATION IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO. NEED A PR FIRM.  
GET WORD OUT ABOUT EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS AVAILABLE TO COMMUNITIES WHO HAVEN'T HEARD ABOUT THEM.  
GOING IN THE WRONG DIRECTION. DE-EMPHASIZED YEAR AFTER YEAR. KURT'S AND CAROLE'S OFFICE DOING A GOOD JOB WITH THE RESOURCES THEY HAVE BEEN GIVEN. LAB COULD TIE IN WITH COLLEGES AND FOSTER SIGNIFICANT IMPROVEMENT AND GROWTH IN STEM PROGRAM.  
GOOD COMMUNITY CITIZEN AND GOOD EFFORT TO REACH OUT TO COMMUNITY.  
GOOD RESULTS FROM MEETINGS AND CONSORTIUMS.  
GRAB 'EM WHILE THEY'RE YOUNG. INCLUDE ELEMENTARY AND MIDDLE SCHOOLS IN THE

PROGRAMS.  
GREAT JOB.  
HIRE MORE PEOPLE FROM THE AREA.  
I AM HOPING FEDERAL FUNDING COMES IN AT THE SAME LEVEL OR HIGHER FROM THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. IT'S A CONGRESSIONAL ISSUE.  
I APPRECIATE THEIR EFFORTS IN THIS AREA.  
I FEEL THEY ARE A GREAT MODEL IN THIS AREA.  
I FEEL THEY'RE DOING A GREAT JOB.  
I GUESS MORE MARKETING WORK IS NEEDED. HOW DO WE BECOME A PART OF IT?  
I LIKE THAT EDUCATIONAL RESOURCES CAN COME OUT TO THE SCHOOLS (E.G. BRADBURY) BUT MORE THAN ONE CALENDAR (ADDITIONAL DAYS AND/OR TEAMS) TO MAKE IT AVAILABLE TO MORE SCHOOLS WOULD BE HELPFUL. I LIKE THE SERVICE KITS OFFERED AND THEY ARE BENEFICIAL IN THE CLASSROOM.  
I SUGGEST THE LAB PUT A TEAM TOGETHER TO VISIT THE SCHOOLS. ESPECIALLY THE PUEBLO SCHOOLS TO FIND OUT THEIR NEEDS.  
I THINK IT SHOULD HELP MORE THAN NEW MEXICO AND MAKE THEIR EDUCATION INITIATIVES STATEWIDE.  
I THINK IT'S IMPORTANT TO CONTINUE THE QUARTERLY MEETINGS WITH THE COMMUNITY LEADERS. THEY NEED TO STRENGTHEN THE NATIVE AMERICAN OUTREACH TO INCLUDE RIO GRANDE PUEBLOS COMMUNITIES. (COCHITI, SAN FELIPE, SANTO DOMINGO.)  
I THINK THAT KURT STEINHAUS IS DOING AN OUTSTANDING JOB.  
I THINK THAT THE CITY HAS A COALITION/REGIONAL COLLABORATING WITH SCHOOL BOARD. LANL COALITION - IF ALL EDUCATIONAL ENTITIES, ESPECIALLY PUBLICANS: MCCURDY, MESA VISTA, JEMEZ, PENASCO. THEY CAN DIRECT SCHOOLS TO THE COALITION SPECIFICALLY FOR EDUCATION.  
I THINK THAT THEY ARE DOING A GOOD JOB. MY OWN COUSIN, JACINTA CHAVEZ, RECEIVED THE LANL BRONZE ACADEMIC SCHOLARSHIP FOR FOUR YEARS OF COLLEGE PAID.  
I THINK THEY ARE GOING TO HAVE TO GO INTO SCHOOLS THEMSELVES. FOR DECADES UP UNTIL TODAY THERE HAS BEEN A PERCEPTION THAT LANL HELPS ONLY THE LOS ALAMOS SCHOOLS.  
I THINK THEY NEED TO WORK A LOT CLOSER WITH PUBLIC SCHOOLS. PARTICULARLY PROGRAM TO DO SCIENCE EXHIBITIONS INTO OUR SCHOOLS, I.E. IN 9TH GRADE TO EXPOSE THEM TO SCIENCE.  
I THINK WE NEED A WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT. WE NEED TO BE ABLE TO BRING ENGINEERS TO TRAINING AND WE ARE MISSING THAT ASPECT.  
I WILL HOLD THAT UNTIL NEXT LEGISLATIVE COMMITTEE MEETING FOR STATE OF NEW MEXICO.  
I WORK VERY CLOSELY WITH LANS, LLC, CONTACT EDUCATION IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COLLEGE, TECHNICAL VOCATIONAL SCHOOL, FOUR YEAR COLLEGES, GOING TO UNIVERSITY, 3,000. LANL HAS PROVIDED FINANCES TO SCHOOLS LIKE HIGHLANDS UNIVERSITY AND UNM IN LOS ALAMOS.  
I WOULD HOPE THE OUTREACH MORE PERSONAL TO THOSE IN NORTHEASTERN NEW MEXICO, INCLUDING LUNA COLLEGE, SATELLITES, ETC... NORTHEAST HAS NO PREDOMINANCE OF JOBS, KEEPING HOME AND KEEPING MORE OF OUR POPULATION IN SMALLER COMMUNITIES HERE.

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS REGARDING LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE EDUCATION INITIATIVES (CONTINUED)

QUESTION 25 (CONTINUED): DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS ABOUT THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE EDUCATION INITIATIVES?

I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE OUTREACH. SEND MORE REPS, NOT TECHNOLOGY TO TEACH AND DISCUSS.

I WOULD LIKE TO VISIT THE LAB TO FIND OUT MORE.

IF LANL COULD BRANCH OUT INTO LOS ALAMOS COUNTY MORE, THAT WOULD BE APPRECIATED.

IF LANL FOUNDATION PROGRAM FUNDS ARE REDUCED OUR TECHNOLOGY WOULD BE DRASTICALLY REDUCED. THIS IS A MAJOR CONCERN.

IF THEY COULD COME DIRECTLY TO THE SCHOOLS AND FIND OUT WHAT THEIR NEEDS ARE. ASSIST WITH TOURS TO THE LAB. NOT EVERYONE ON THE PUEBLOS HAVE COMPUTERS. A DONATION OF COMPUTERS WOULD BE NICE. DONATE TO THOSE IN NEED.

IF THEY COULD DO A LITTLE MORE FOLLOW UP. IT'S DIFFICULT TO DO MY OWN FOLLOW UP BECAUSE I'M A ONE-PERSON OFFICE. ESPECIALLY AFTER WE MEET. SOMETIMES IT DOESN'T HAPPEN AT THE GOVERNMENT LEVEL.

I'M UNFAMILIAR WITH THEIR EFFORTS. I WOULD LIKE TO RECEIVE MORE INFORMATION REGARDING SCHOLARSHIPS.

IMPRESSED WITH LANL'S EFFORTS IN AREA OF EDUCATION. MANY KIDS WOULDN'T BE ABLE TO GO TO COLLEGE WITHOUT LAB'S SUPPORT.

IMPROVE EMPHASIS ON MENTORING ELEMENTARY, MIDDLE SCHOOL AND HIGH SCHOOL STUDENTS IN THE TRIBAL COMMUNITIES. THEY ARE OUR FUTURE. ALSO MORE OUTREACH TO NAMBE PUEBLO. WE SEEM TO BE FORGOTTEN SOMETIMES.

IN THE LONG TERM THE LAB HAS COME TO REALIZE PARTNERSHIPS ARE CRITICAL IN WORKING WITH THE LOCAL COMMUNITIES AS WELL. WE HAVE GROWN ON BOTH SIDES.

INCREASED FUNDING TO TRAIN PEOPLE FOR LABORATORY JOBS AND SUBCONTRACTS.

JUST CONTINUE TO IMPROVE ON THE PROGRAMS. COLLABORATE WITH LOCAL COMMUNITIES TO CREATE A PROJECT FOR THE SCHOOLS AND TO UTILIZE RESOURCES TO HELP THE SCHOOLS. KEEP IT UP.

KEEP IT UP. REINVEST IN EDUCATION HERE AT HOME.

KEEP SCHOOL PROJECTS AND TECHNOLOGY FUNDING.

LAB COULD MAKE A HUGE STATEMENT WITH STEM. OPPORTUNITY EXISTS. MONEY NEEDS TO BE FOCUSED ON THE RIGHT AREAS.

LANL FOUNDATION PROVIDES SUPPORT BUT LAB ITSELF IS NOT INVOLVED AND I THINK THEY SHOULD PROVIDE MORE DIRECT SUPPORT IN EDUCATION, SUCH AS MENTORING WHICH WOULD AFFECT EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITIES FOR OUR YOUTH.

LET THE COMMUNITY KNOW WHAT THEY ARE DOING IN TERMS OF ECONOMIC SUPPORT FOR EDUCATION INITIATIVES.

LOS ALAMOS ENDOWMENT (GRANT MAKING ARM) IS VERY RESTRICTED AND LIMITED. IT WOULD BE NICE FOR TRIBAL SCHOOLS TO ACCESS THOSE FUNDS MORE READILY.

MAKE THEIR EDUCATION INITIATIVES MORE PROMINENTLY KNOW. LESS LIP SERVICE, MORE INVOLVEMENT - REAL ACTIVE PARTICIPATION FROM SCIENTIST IN THE CLASSROOMS.

MORE 21ST CENTURY TOOLS AND RESOURCES. I WOULD BE WILLING TO CONTRIBUTE IN ANY WAY TO HELP THAT HAPPEN. (AGNES CHAVEZ - 800-803-8073 PLEASE CALL ME.)

MORE EFFORT IN SANTA FE COUNTY.

MORE FOR LOS ALAMOS SCHOOL DISTRICT.

MORE INVOLVEMENT IN PUBLIC SCHOOL EDUCATION.

MORE OUTREACH TO MORE GROUPS OF PEOPLE IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO INCLUDING ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY SCHOOLS (BEFORE COLLEGE) SO PEOPLE KNOW WHAT

OPPORTUNITIES ARE AVAILABLE TO THEM FROM/THROUGH THE LAB.

MORE PARTNERSHIPS WITH COMMUNITY COLLEGES AND FOUR YEAR UNIVERSITIES.

MORE PROGRAMS OFFERED TO TRIBAL COMMUNITIES, ESPECIALLY IN RURAL AREAS. MORE ACCESSIBILITY.

NEED MORE GRANTS AND OPEN HOUSE EVENTS.

NEED TO CONTINUE WITH THE LANL FOUNDATION AND CONTINUED PRESENCE IN THE HIGH SCHOOLS.

NEED TO GET MORE PERSPECTIVES AS TO WHAT THEY THINK THE NEEDS ARE.

NEED TO GIVE TEACHERS A BOOST IN EDUCATION OF MATH AND SCIENCE.

NEED TO PLACE GREATER EMPHASIS ON LOS ALAMOS SCHOOLS TO EQUAL EMPHASIS ON OTHER NORTHERN NEW MEXICO SCHOOLS.

NEED TO REACH OUT BEYOND LOS ALAMOS, NEW MEXICO.

NEEDS TO BE MORE DISSEMINATION OF INFORMATION AND THE LAB' EFFORTS ARE LIMITED.

NO, I THINK THEY DO A VERY EFFECTIVE JOB. THEY ARE VERY PROACTIVE.

NO, IT'S A VERY COMPLICATED SITUATION WITH THE FEDERAL FUNDING FOR LANL. IT DEPENDS ON WHAT CONGRESS DOES IN THE FORM OF FUNDING FOR LOS ALAMOS.

NOT ENOUGH EFFORT IN LOS ALAMOS COUNTY.

OFFERS INTERNSHIPS AND SPECIAL PROGRAMS FOR MATH AND SCIENCE TEACHERS AND TEACHERS IN GENERAL.

ON THE RIGHT TRACK IN PROVIDING SUPPORT FOR SCHOOLS. PROBLEM IS WITH THE SCHOOL SYSTEM IN GENERAL. TEACHING HOW TO TEST NOT HOW TO LEARN.

ON THE RIGHT TRACK, BUT TRYING TO FIX A SYSTEMIC PROBLEM. I WISH WE HAD MORE TIME AND RESOURCES. MAYBE FOCUS ON A FEW PROGRAMS THAT ARE WORKING AND CHANNEL RESOURCES IN THOSE AREAS.

OPEN MORE DOORS TO EVERYONE. THIS HAS CHANGED RECENTLY SO THERE ARE FEWER OPPORTUNITIES/FUNDS AVAILABLE NOW.

PLEASED ABOUT SPEAKERS BRINGING IN MATH AND SCIENCE ACADEMY - IS HUGE. CONTINUE TO EXPAND PROGRAMS.

PUEBLO STUDENT SCIENCE CAMPS WERE DISCONTINUED. THESE SHOULD BE REINSTITATED.

REALLY TRY TO UNDERSTAND THE NEEDS OF THE EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS INSTEAD OF APPLYING OR GIVING IN AREAS LANL DETERMINES.

REMARKABLE WITH WHAT THEY ARE DOING.

SCIENTISTS IN THE SCHOOL. MORE RESOURCES BEHIND SUPERCOMPUTING CHALLENGE.

SHOULD BE MORE OF A TARGETED EMPHASIS ON IMPROVING STEM EDUCATION IN K-16 ARENA.

SHOULD TAKE A MORE ACTIVE DAY TO DAY ROLE IN MATH AND SCIENCE EDUCATION. MONEY DOESN'T SOLVE EVERYTHING, BUT MORE SCHOLARSHIPS AND WORKSHOPS WITH SCIENTISTS WOULD BE GREAT. SPONSOR OF LEADERSHIP LOS ALAMOS. IT WOULD BE GREAT TO HAVE THIS.

SPREAD THE MONEY BEYOND STEM.

STOP OVERLOOKING LOS ALAMOS.

STRENGTHEN SCIENCE DEMOS WITH THE SCHOOLS. MOTIVATE THE STUDENTS IN ANY WAY YOU CAN.

SUPPORT TO COMMUNITY COLLEGE STUDENTS IS EXTRAORDINARY. CONTINUE TO GET WORD OUT ABOUT THIS.

TAKE TIME - REACHING OUT TO THE RIGHT PEOPLE.

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS REGARDING LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE EDUCATION INITIATIVES (CONTINUED)

QUESTION 25 (CONTINUED): DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS ABOUT THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE EDUCATION INITIATIVES?

TEACHER JOBS AT THE LAB IN THE SUMMER. GOOD JOB WITH SCIENCE PROGRAMS IN SCHOOLS.

THE CURRENT STATE ADMINISTRATION (GOVERNOR AND SECRETARIES) HAS NOT BEEN WILLING TO LISTEN TO US WHICH MAKES LANL LESS EFFECTIVE.

THE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT NEEDS TO BE CONTACTED FIRST, NOT THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE BECAUSE SOMETIMES THE GOVERNOR IS VERY BUSY AND THE INFORMATION DOESN'T GET TO THE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT IN TIME OF NEED. SPEAKING DIRECTLY TO THE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT IS VERY IMPORTANT.

THE LAB ARE GOOD PARTNERS IN EDUCATION, BUT THE EDUCATIONAL PARTNERS ARE WEAKER THAN THEY NEED TO BE IN ORDER TO BE EFFECTIVE.

THE MORE THEY CAN PUBLICLY ANNOUNCE THEIR EFFORTS IN THESE AREAS WOULD PAINT LANL IN A BETTER LIGHT IN THE COMMUNITY. THEY NEED A GOOD PR FIRM.

THE MORE THEY CAN PUT INTO IT, THE BETTER.

THE NEED TO DO MORE COMMUNITY OUTREACH.

THE SUPPORT FROM LANL WHO CAN DO MORE IN THE WAY OF PUTTING MORE EMPHASIS ON AREA SCHOOLS, AS WE NEED A NEW GENERATION OF TRAINED PEOPLE TO HELP TO MEET LANL JOB REQUIREMENTS AT THE HIGHER LEVEL JOBS THERE TO ACCOMPLISH BY STRENGTHENING OUR MATH AND SCIENCE PROGRAMS IN AREA SCHOOLS.

THEIR PRESENCE IS APPRECIATED, BUT WOULD LIKE TO SEE REGULAR DAY-TO-DAY PROGRAMS INSTALLED.

THERE ARE NO EFFORTS TAKING PLACE. IT IS SPORADIC. JUST LITTLE TAGS INFORM US AS TO WHAT THEY ARE DOING. WE NEED INCENTIVES AS LANL SHOULD BE NICE TO OUR COMMUNITY TOO!

THEY ARE GOOD STEWARDS AND DOING A GOOD JOB IN THIS AREA.

THEY ARE INCREDIBLY DEDICATED TO THE AREA OF STEM AND IT SHOULD CONTINUE BECAUSE IT IS VERY VALUABLE.

THEY COULD DO MORE WITH YOUNGER KIDS.

THEY DO A GOOD JOB. KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK. TRY NOT TO LAY OFF ANY MORE PEOPLE AS 800 PEOPLE WERE LAID OFF FROM LANL'S GREAT JOBS.

THEY FOCUS MORE ON COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES AND DON'T PAY ENOUGH ATTENTION TO HS EXCEPT WITH LANL FOUNDATION.

THEY HAVE A GREAT PROGRAM THAT TEACHES HOW TO TEACH THAT COULD BE BETTER FUNDING.

THEY HAVE ASSISTED AT DIFFERENT TIMES. I AM NOT PART OF THE LOOP. THEY HAVE NOT DONE TUTORING PROGRAM LATELY. DO THEY STILL HAVE THE SCIENCE FAIRS? LANL CAN DO A BETTER JOB WITH WHAT'S OCCURRING NOW. DO BETTER WITH FUNDS TO COUNTIES AND CITIES LANL.

THEY NEED TO DO MORE OUTREACH BY EXPANDING THE CIRCUMFERENCE OR RADIUS IN TAOS AND SANTA FE. THEY NEED TO EXTEND THEIR FOCUS OUTWARD FROM LOS ALAMOS TO OTHER CITIES IN THE REGION THROUGH A MAILED NEWSLETTER TO THE PUBLIC OR COMMUNITY THAT HIGHLIGHTS LANL'S OUTREACH AND PROMOTES MORE AWARENESS OF

ALL OF THE WORK THAT THEY ARE DOING.

THEY NEED TO FOCUS ON MINORITY EDUCATIONS INITIATIVES FOR NATIVE AMERICAN INDIANS AND HISPANICS. PROVIDE COURSES THAT WILL ALLOW THEM TO ATTAIN LANL'S HIGH LEVEL JOBS AND NOT JUST THEIR BLUE COLLAR JOBS.

THEY NEED TO KEEP UP WHAT THEY ARE DOING NOW. EXPAND SCIENCE AND MATH THROUGHOUT THE WHOLE REGION AND CONTINUE TO WORK WITH SCHOOL DISTRICT TO AFFECT MORE PROGRAMS. STEM IS THE MOST IMPORTANT THING WE CAN DO FOR THE FUTURE OF OUR COUNTRY'S MATH SCORES. SF STUDENTS NEED LANL TO HAVE A BIGGER IMPACT ON JOBS IN SCIENCE, TECHNOLOGY, ENGINEERING AND MATH. TAKE IT UP A NOTCH AND WE WILL HAVE A TREMENDOUS IMPACT BY GETTING STUDENTS MORE PASSIONATE ABOUT STEM. U.S. NEEDS TO BE MORE COMPETITIVE. IT'S THE PEOPLE IN THE FACILITY WHO TOUCH ON STUDENTS' ACADEMIC POTENTIAL, WILL AFFECT NAT'L SECURITY AND LANL. THEY HAVE NOT HIT THEIR POTENTIAL. AS STUDENTS LEARN FROM BEING IN CLASS AND LANL HAS SPECIAL JOBS.

THEY SHOULD MAKE THEIR EFFORTS MORE PUBLIC.

TO CONTINUE FUNDING WHERE THEY ALREADY ARE, THE PROGRAMS ARE BENEFICIAL AND NECESSARY.

TO CONTINUE OUR PARTNERSHIP AND FUNDING WITH LANL IN THE JEMEZ PUEBLO.

TO CONTINUE TO GIVE COLLEGES AND SCHOOLS WITH SCHOLARSHIPS.

TO CONTINUE TO PART WITH PUBLIC KNOWLEDGE THAT LANL HAS NOW. TRANSFER KNOWLEDGE TO TEACHERS BECAUSE IT'S UNLIMITED. LANL NEEDS TO DO MUCH MORE HERE, ESPECIALLY IN READING, SCIENCE AND MATH WHERE WE ARE WEAKER.

TO CREATE A LIAISON TO GO TO SANTA FE SCHOOLS AND TO MULTIPLE PUEBLOS TO GET KIDS INTERESTED BY SETTING UP A BOOTH TO LET LOCAL SCHOOLS COME IN AND GIVE A PRESENTATION ON THE SCHOLARSHIPS THAT THEY OFFER THEM.

TO REALLY BE CONSCIOUS ABOUT EFFORTS AND INITIATIVES WITH TRIBAL NATIONS ABOUT THE HEALTH CONCERNS IN REFERENCE TO HAZARDOUS MATERIALS, LANDFILL SIGHTS, FUTURE IMPLICATIONS FOR LANDSCAPES THAT AFFECT ALL OF NORTHERN NEW MEXICO. (FISHING, HUNTING, RECREATIONAL...)

WE HAVE A PARTNERSHIP. I APPRECIATE CONTINUED RELATIONSHIP.

WE NEED MORE COLLABORATION WITH LANL VS. CONSULTATION.

WELL, I THINK THE SCHOLARSHIPS FOUNDATION COULD BE EXPANDED. THERE SHOULD BE MORE SCHOLARSHIPS TO THE COMMUNITIES THAT HAVE EMPLOYEES AT LANL.

WELL, JOB OPPORTUNITIES COME FROM THE COLLEGE. CREATE COURSES FOR STUDENTS WHERE THEY CAN QUALIFY FOR JOB OPPORTUNITIES AT LANL.

WHAT LAB IS DOING IS PHENOMENAL AND VERY VISIONARY.

WHATEVER THE CHAMBER CAN DO TO SUPPORT LANL IN THEIR CONTINUED EFFORTS TO IMPROVE LOS ALAMOS IN THIS AREA IS CRUCIAL TO OUR EDUCATION, ECONOMY AND COMMUNITY.

WOULD LIKE TO HEAR MORE ABOUT WHAT THEY ARE DOING IN THIS ARENA.

.

---

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS REGARDING LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE EDUCATION INITIATIVES (CONTINUED)

QUESTION 25 (CONTINUED): DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS ABOUT THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE EDUCATION INITIATIVES?

YES, I BELONG TO LANL REGIONAL COMMUNITY COALITION, ESTABLISHED TRAINING COLLEGE STAFF IN ESPANOLA SO THAT THEY CAN HIRE LOCALS INSTEAD OF OUT-OF-STATERS FOR ALL LANL JOBS.

YES, ONE OF THE THINGS THAT I AM CONCERNED WITH IS THE NATIVE AMERICAN INDIAN SCORES. NATIVES SCORE BELOW TEST STANDARDS THAN OTHER RACES. IT WOULD BE GREAT IF LANL WOULD GIVE A LITTLE BIT MORE OUTREACH TO US IN THE AREA OF EDUCATION.

YES, SHOULD DO A LOT MORE IN RURAL COMMUNITIES LIKE ROY AND MORA WHERE THEY HAVE LESS THAN 100 STUDENTS.

YES, WE HOPE TO BECOME MORE INVOLVED WITH ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS TO GIVE OUR KIDS A SCIENTIFIC PATHWAY TO THE FUTURE. THEY NEED TO PROVIDE NECESSARY TECHNOLOGY AND PIECE WORK WITH MATH AND SCIENCE TEACHERS TO ENHANCE SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY.

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS REGARDING LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES

QUESTION 26: DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS ABOUT THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES?

AGAIN LANL ON THE RIGHT TRACK, BUT COMMUNITY LEADERS AND BUSINESS ENTITIES NEED TO WORK TOGETHER MORE COOPERATIVELY ON MATTERS REGARDING ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

ALL FORM AND NO SUBSTANCE.

ALLOW EMPLOYEES TO DO MORE COMMUNITY OUTREACH IN MORE AREAS.

ANY TIME LAB REINVESTS IN THE COMMUNITY IT MAKES THEM STRONGER. PLEASE BE OPEN-MINDED. A DECREASE IN WORKFORCE COULD KILL OUR LOCAL ECONOMY. EVEN AN ANNOUNCEMENT OF REDUCTION IN FORCE. AFFECTS OUR LOCAL ECONOMIC STANDING. PLEASE BE MORE IN-TUNE WITH OUR COMMUNITY.

APPRECIATE WHAT THEY ARE DOING NOW REGARDING THE SEEDS THEY HAVE PLANTED. ANXIOUS TO FIND OUT THE GROWTH/HARVEST.

AS LONG AS THEY KEEP AT FOREFRONT, THE SIGNIFICANT IMPACT THAT LANL HAS ON ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. LANL MUST ENHANCE THE JOBS THAT ARE AVAILABLE.

AS MUCH LOCAL SOURCING AND PREFERENCE AS POSSIBLE IN NORTH. (NORTH OF ALBUQUERQUE)

BETTER CONTRACTING ENVIRONMENT, MORE COLLABORATION, GREATER FRACTION OF OUTSOURCING OF ACTIVITIES THAT ARE NOT PART OF LANL'S CORE MISSION.

BUILDING A BETTER CONNECTION BETWEEN EDUCATIONAL SYSTEM AND THE NEEDS OF OUR WORKFORCE IN ORDER TO CREATE BETTER JOBS ACROSS NORTHERN NEW MEXICO.

BUSINESS MENTORSHIP - INCREDIBLE PROGRAM. TOUCHES MORE LIVES THAN THE LAB PROBABLY KNOWS. SHOULD DO ANALYSIS SO THEY CAN DETERMINE BEST PRACTICES.

CHANGE LAB'S FOCUS TO RENEWABLE ENERGY, ALTERNATIVE ENERGY, ETC.

COME TO THE TRIBAL OFFICES TO PRESENT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES.

CONTINUE GOOD WORK WITH REGIONAL DEVELOPMENT CORPORATIONS.

CONTINUE IN USA TO GET THE METALLURGY BUILDING GOING TO PROVIDE MANY JOBS IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO.

CONTINUE TO FUND PROGRAMS; ENTREPRENEURIAL NEUTRALITY.

CONTINUE TO WORK ON SIMPLIFYING INTERFACES BETWEEN ENTREPRENEURS AND LANL AROUND THE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROJECT. CONSIDER OUTSOURCING LABORATORY SUPPORT FUNCTIONS THAT WOULD THEN SEED MORE SMALL BUSINESS GROWTH.

CONTINUE TO WORK WITH TRIBAL LEADERS.

COULD BE MORE EFFECTIVE IF THEY HAD COOPERATION FROM THE OTHER ENTITIES (THE LOCAL AGENCIES). OUR PROBLEM IS A LOCAL PROBLEM AND IS AN IMPEDIMENT TO OUR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

COULD BE MORE EFFECTIVE WITH WORKING WITH THEIR SUBCONTRACTORS/VENDORS. LEND MORE EXPERTISE TO STIMULATE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

DIRECT ENGAGEMENT WITH BUSINESS COMMUNITY. THEY SHOULD VISIT THE LOCAL FOLKS. IT WOULD BE MORE FRUITFUL AND GAIN MORE INSIGHT. THEY HAVE TO LEAVE THE LAB AND ENGAGE WITH THESE BUSINESSES.

DOES LAB PERIODICALLY ADDRESS NEEDS AND MATCH BUSINESSES WITH PROJECTED NEEDS?

DON'T CUT BACK

DON'T PULL FUNDING FROM THE PROGRAMS THEY ARE FUNDING AND IN SOME AREAS INCREASE THE FUNDING.

DON'T THINK THE LAB ARE IN TOUCH WITH WHAT THE COMMUNITY REALLY NEEDS AT THIS TIME. GENERALLY A UNILATERALISM VS. COLLABORATIONS PRESIDES.

ECONOMIC SUMMIT/PARTNERSHIP WITH EDUCATION - RESPONSIBILITY BOTH SIDES.

EXPECTATIONS OF LAB ARE GREAT AND UNREALISTIC. PHILOSOPHY SEEMS TO SPREAD SUPPORT AROUND BUT IT MEANS SCALE OF IMPACT IS DIMINISHED BECAUSE EFFORTS ARE LESS FOCUSED.

EXPLAIN MORE ABOUT THE PROGRAMS, CERTIFICATIONS AND THE CRITERIA NEEDED AHEAD OF TIME IN ORDER TO GET A JOB OR OPPORTUNITY WITH THE LAB.

EXTREMELY POOR. THEY BRING IN PEOPLE FROM OTHER PARTS OF THE COUNTRY AND ARE LAYING OFF LOCAL PEOPLE. SERIOUS ISSUE.

FIND SIMPLER WAYS FOR SMALL BUSINESSES AND THE PUEBLOS TO ACCESS AND DISSEMINATE THE INFORMATION.

FOCUS MORE ON HELPING SMALL BUSINESS WITH STRATEGIES FINANCIAL PLANNING.

GET MORE LOCAL TRIBES INFORMED AND INVOLVED.

GET PERSPECTIVES OF SPECIFIC INDIVIDUAL NEEDS OF BUSINESSES.

GOING THE WAY OF GRAIN AND SOLAR WOULD BE BENEFICIAL TO OUR COMMUNITY.

GOOD PROGRAMS BUT NEED TO GET THE WORD OUT. DISSEMINATE THE INFORMATION DEEPER INTO THE COMMUNITIES.

GREAT IF THEY COULD WORK MORE CLOSELY WITH GOVERNOR AND NEW MEXICO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT FOR MORE RECOGNITION OF EFFORTS OF LANS AND LANL IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO AND PROVIDE ADDITIONAL ASSISTANCE.

GREATER OUTREACH TO THE BUSINESS SECTOR FOR INFORMATION, TECHNOLOGY, R AND D, ETC.

THEY SHOULD MAKE CLONES OF MS. MARIANNE JOHNSTON AND MS. BELINDA SNYDER.

HONOR THE CONTRACTS IN PLACE BY GIVING THOSE CONTRACTS TO NORTHERN NEW MEXICO BUSINESS. CONTROL THE USE OF PURCHASE CARDS AT THE LABORATORY.

HOST MORE OPEN HOUSES.

I AM PRO-SMALL BUSINESS AND SO I FEEL THE IMPORTANCE OF SUPPORTING SMALL BUSINESS AND HAVING TAX INCENTIVES IN PLACE.

I BELIEVE PERSONAL OUTREACH WITH LANL DISCUSSIONS OR CONVERSATIONS ABOUT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT WOULD BEGIN THEN.

I DON'T HAVE TOO MUCH KNOWLEDGE IN THIS AREA.

I HAVE NO IDEA WHAT THAT ENTAILS.

I SUGGEST MORE TRAINING PROGRAMS FOR LOCAL PEOPLE.

I THINK THAT ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO IS HARD TO DO. LANL DOES AS WELL AS THEY CAN. I DON'T THINK THAT THE SURROUNDING COMMUNITIES RECOGNIZE THE IMPACT OF THE LABORATORY (I.E., SANTA FE DOES NOT RECOGNIZE IT.)

I THINK THE LAB IS DOING A GOOD JOB IN ECONOMIC DEV. WITH AN ACTIVE RECRUITMENT PROGRAM. TOO MANY OUT OF WORK, NEED BALANCE RETENTION ENTREPRENEURSHIP AND RECRUIT TECHNOLOGY BUSINESSES. THIS COULD CREATE 50 - 300 JOBS AT A TIME. LANL COULD BE A PARTNER IN THIS PROACTIVE RECRUITING OF BUSINESSES SMALL TO MEDIUM IN SIZE OR UNDER \$50 MIL., AVERAGE \$10 M. CRITICAL MASS TO HAVE A BUILDING LIKE INTEL OR MICROSOFT, IN CLUSTER COMMUNITIES ESPANOLA, TAOS, AND MORA. FACILITIES WILL HELP THE CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY. NEED TO DEVELOP A REGIONAL MARKETING PLAN THROUGH THE RDC WITH \$, INDUSTRIES, TRADE SHOWS, SITE SELECTION. IN ORDER TO MAKE A BIGGER IMPACT IN NORTHERN NM, INCLUDING POJOAQUE AND ESPANOLA. EMPLOYEES WILL GET TOGETHER TO OBTAIN GOOD HIGH WAGE JOBS.

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS REGARDING LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES (CONTINUED)

**QUESTION 26 (CONTINUED): DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS ABOUT THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES?**

I THINK WE ARE GOING IN THE RIGHT DIRECTIONS. BUT I AM DISAPPOINTED THAT THEY DIDN'T NOT APPROVE THE PLUTONIUM BUILDING. MY MAIN CONCERN IN SANTA FE IS THE PURITY OF THE RIO GRANDE RIVER DUE TO PREVIOUS YEARS' DISCHARGES. LET'S PUT THOSE RUMORS TO REST. OUR NUMBER ONE PRIORITY IS TO CLEAN UP THE WASTE AND CREATE BETTER MEDIA COVERAGE. I AM VERY IMPRESSED WITH THE DISARMAMENT STEPS TAKEN. I THINK THAT MORE JOBS WILL BE CREATED AS THE "MOX" FUEL FOR PLUTONIUM NEEDS TO BE DISPOSED OF. (MOX IS USED IN NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS AS FUEL AND IS NOT THAT DANGEROUS. WE NEED PERCEPTIONS TO IMPROVE ON THAT TOPIC.

I WORRY ABOUT THE COMMUNITY PERCEPTION REGARDING THE LAB ABILITY TO RESPOND TO THE CLEAN-UP EFFORTS IN THE AREA.

I WOULD LIKE TO EXPLAIN SENECA DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION AND WHAT WE ARE DOING TO LANL. AND TO DISCUSS WAYS THAT LANL CAN CREATE MORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR SENECA DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION.

IF LAB USES BIG BUSINESSES MAKE SURE THEY MANDATE USE OF SMALL BUSINESSES FOR PART OF THE WORK OR CERTAIN AMOUNT OF DOLLARS GENERATED FOR SMALL BUSINESSES.

IF LANL COULD BRANCH OUT INTO LOS ALAMOS COUNTY MORE, THAT WOULD BE APPRECIATED.

IN LIGHT OF POTENTIAL CHANGES IN FUNDING AT FEDERAL LEVEL, COMMUNITY PUBLIC/PRIVATE PARTNERSHIPS IS MORE IMPORTANT THAN EVER.

IN THESE TIMES, LANL HAS THE CHALLENGE OF EMPLOYER LAYOFFS DUE TO FEDERAL CUTS.

LANL'S HANDS ARE TIED. WE ARE IN A DIFFERENT ERA.

INCREASE OPPORTUNITIES FOR INTERNSHIPS AND MENTORSHIPS AT THE LAB.

INFORMATION ABOUT BUDGET CUTS AND OTHER SUCH ISSUES NEED TO BE COMMUNICATED TO THE PUBLIC IN A MORE TIMELY MANNER.

YES, I BELIEVE LANL SHOULD ENGAGE IN SUSTAINED CONVERSATIONS WITH OTHER PARTNERS IN THE STATE OF NEW MEXICO AND OTHER HIGH QUALITY EMPLOYERS TO DISCUSS AND CREATE AN ACTION PLAN FOR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TO CREATE A REAL ECONOMY IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO.

KEEP A CLEAR UNDERSTANDING OF OVERALL IMPACT ON COMMUNITY BUSINESSES AND CITIZENS. SHOULD STABILIZE THIS COMPONENT OF LABORATORIES.

KEEP ALL SUPPORT FOR LANL. MUST KEEP THEM SHINING BRIGHTLY AND SUCCESSFUL.

KEEP UP THE WORK AND GET US MORE JOBS.

KEY PLAYERS NEED TO STAY INVOLVED.

LAB TIME LINES AND DECISION CYCLES ARE SOMETIMES MISALIGNED WITH BUSINESSES ABILITIES TO ADJUST TO THEM. QUICKER DECISIONS NEED TO BE MADE RATHER THAN TAKING SO LONG.

LANL - WE TOLD THEM TO STEP OUT MORE INTO THE BUSINESS COMMUNITY AND PROVIDE OPPORTUNITY FOR INDIVIDUAL BUSINESSES TO HAVE THE CHANCE TO BID ON LANL PROJECTS AND WORK WITH THE LITTLE BUSINESSES TOO. IF LANL STEPS DOWN TO HELP SMALL BUSINESSES, THE ONES THAT MAKE LESS THAN \$150,000.00 PER YEAR WITH FOUR OR LESS EMPLOYEES.

LANL NEEDS TO IMPROVE ON THEIR PARTNERING WITH NORTHERN NM BUSINESSES IN MORE PERSONAL AND MEANINGFUL WAYS THAN A REGIONAL COMMUNITIES LEADERS BREAKFAST. SHOULD FOCUS THEIR EFFORTS IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO SUBCONTRACTORS AND DIRECT COMMUNICATIONS IN A SMALLER FORUM.

LANL NEEDS TO WORK WITH SMALL BUSINESSES IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO AND JUST GIVE

THEM MORE BUSINESS AND MONEY TO HELP THEM GROW.

LANL SEND SOME JOBS TO CHAMA, NEW MEXICO.

LANL'S EFFORTS ARE THERE WITH THE TRIBES AND IN THE COMMUNITIES AS WELL. IN SANTA FE AND POJOAQUE, THE LAB IS GETTING THE LOCAL COMMUNITY TOGETHER. THIS IS A GOOD START. AS WE PROGRESS, MORE ISSUES COME OUT IN THE COMMUNITY. THEY HAVE TOURS, GIVE GOOD INFORMATION TO PEOPLE ABOUT LANL MISSION AND THAT'S COOL FOR THE LAB IN THE LONG TERM.

MAKE COMMUNITY AWARE OF ALTERNATIVE TRANSPORTATION WHEN PEOPLE DRINK ALCOHOL. MAYBE TO EXPAND OUR FUNDING STEM ISSUES TO INCLUDE HEALTH OR OTHER QUALITY OF LIFE NONPROFITS, VENUES, FORUMS THAT FOCUS ON SUBSTANCE ABUSE AND HEALTH WHERE THERE IS NEED.

MONIES FOR ENTREPRENEURIAL FINDS ARE NOT ADEQUATE TO GET BUSINESSES GOING IN LAS VEGAS. MORE OUTREACH ON HOW BUSINESSES CAN WORK WITH THE LAB. ESPECIALLY THE SMALLER BUSINESSES AND CONTRACTORS. BIG COMPANIES ALWAYS GET THE CONTRACTS.

MORE BENEFITS TO LOS ALAMOS ITSELF FROM LANL FOUNDATION AND IF IT IS ALREADY AVAILABLE TO LOS ALAMOS, MAKE THE INFORMATION AVAILABLE.

MORE BIDIRECTIONAL INTERACTION WITH ENTREPRENEURS, NOT JUST ONE WAY.

MORE EFFECTIVE PARTNERING WITH BUSINESS AND THE COUNTY.

MORE INVOLVEMENT LOCALLY IN LOS ALAMOS.

WOULD LIKE TO HAVE AND OUTREACH IN TAOS, EITHER THROUGH THE CHAMBER OR THE TAOS ENTREPRENEUR NETWORK.

MORE LOCAL OUTREACH AND MORE TRAINING OPPORTUNITIES AT OUR TECHNICAL TRAINING SCHOOL, NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COLLEGE, VOCATIONAL HIGH SCHOOLS, JUNIOR HIGH SCHOOLS AND ELEMENTARY SCHOOLS AS WELL.

MORE MONEY AND BETTER FUNDING!

MORE TRANSPARENCY REGARDING WHERE THE MONEY IS BEING SPENT AND ITS LEVERAGE EFFECTS.

NEED GREATER PUBLICITY SO PEOPLE KNOW ABOUT THOSE EFFORTS.

NEED MORE COMMUNITY OUTREACH.

NEED TO APPROPRIATE FUNDS FROM BUDGET TO SPEND ON NEW MEXICO SMALL BUSINESSES FROM SANTA FE.

NEED TO BEEF UP THOSE INITIATIVES BECAUSE OF ECONOMIC DECLINE OF THE LAB AND AS THEY DOWNSIZE IT IMPACTS THE REGION AND ALL WORKFORCES.

NEED TO HELP THE FRONTIER TOWNS WITH SMALL POPULATIONS. HELP OUR CONTRACTORS TO GET SMALL JOBS ABOUT \$30,000.00. SEND BODY SHOPS, SECURITY AND TRANSPORTATION SOME SMALL BIDS.

NEED TO IMPROVE ON TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM.

NEED TO REACH OUT MORE TO BUSINESSES IN LOS ALAMOS COUNTY.

NEED TO REASSESS PROGRAMS WORKING ON AND TAKE ANOTHER LOOK AT COTTAGE INDUSTRIES AND INVEST IN THIS AREA. IT NEEDS TO BE MORE BALANCED BETWEEN THIS AND AREAS OF TECHNOLOGY.

NEED TO START REINSTATING LEGACY CLEAN-UP WHICH WILL CREATE MORE OPPORTUNITIES FOR NEW MEXICO JOBS. LESS EMPHASIS ON TRANSFER OF NUCLEAR WASTE.

NEED TO WORK MORE WITH LOCAL BUSINESS AND RENEW CONTRACTS WITH SUBCONTRACTORS IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO.



## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS REGARDING LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES (CONTINUED)

QUESTION 26 (CONTINUED): DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS ABOUT THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES?

NO, I THINK THEY WOULD DO BETTER TO WORK WITH THE CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATION.

NO, IT TAKES MONEY FROM THE DEPARTMENT OF ENERGY, AS 800 EMPLOYEES HAD TO BE LAID OFF. MAYBE MORE CUTS TO COME. THE 15,000 TO 8,000 EMPLOYEES AT LANL IS AN ECONOMIC ENGINE HERE IN NEW MEXICO.

ONE OF THE RAMIFICATIONS THEY WERE DENIED IN A LEGISLATIVE SESSION WAS THE CONTRACTORS' RIGHTS TO OWNED INVENTIONS/INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS WHEN PROVIDED FUNDING. THIS AREA NEEDS TO BE LOOKED INTO AS TO WHETHER OR NOT THE CONTRACTORS SHOULD RELINQUISH THEIR INTELLECTUAL IDEAS OR PROPERTY.

ORGANIZATIONS MOVED TO ESPANOLA. WE NEED A WAY TO TRANSFER OUR INDUSTRIES TO A BUSINESS PARK IN ESPANOLA.

RAISE THE PERCENTAGE LEVEL REGARDING THE NUMBER OF BUSINESSES THEY ARE WORKING WITH IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO.

SAME AS ABOVE (WOULD LIKE TO HAVE AND OUTREACH IN TAOS, EITHER THROUGH THE CHAMBER OR THE TAOS ENTREPRENEUR NETWORK.)

SATELLITE MUSEUM OR SUCH PROGRAMS/FACILITIES TO BRING THEM CLOSER TO SANTA FE OR ALBUQUERQUE TO MAKE THEM MORE ACCESSIBLE TO STUDENTS.

SEE ANSWER TO #25 (LAB COULD MAKE A HUGE STATEMENT WITH STEM. OPPORTUNITY EXISTS. MONEY NEEDS TO BE FOCUSED ON THE RIGHT AREAS.). CONTINUE SUPPORT THROUGH VAF.

SEE PREVIOUS ANSWER. (MORE 21ST CENTURY TOOLS AND RESOURCES). I WOULD BE WILLING TO CONTRIBUTE IN ANY WAY TO HELP THAT HAPPEN. (AGNES CHAVEZ - 800-803-8073 PLEASE CALL ME.)

SHOULD BE ABLE TO DO A LOT MORE. WHAT THEY DO ISN'T ALWAYS WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE. SHOULD FOCUS ON WASHINGTON MORE RIGHT NOW.

SHOULD WORK ON GETTING WAYS TO GET BUSINESSES TO NOT BE SO DEPENDENT ON THE LAB. BROADEN CONTRACT BASE, MORE DIVERSIFICATION.

SHOULD WORK WITH SMALL BUSINESSES AND CHAMBERS TO ACHIEVE THESE GOALS.

STOP LAYING OFF OUR PEOPLE, AS IT IS FEDERALLY FUNDED. OUR COMMUNITY NEEDS TO BACK-UP LANL AS MUCH AS IT BACKS OUR COMMUNITY. WE WILL FIGHT FOR LANL AS IT FIGHTS FOR US.

SUMMIT YESTERDAY TO TECH COMMERCIALIZATION POTENTIAL. I WOULD LIKE TO FORM A PARTNERSHIP WITH LANL ON THIS TOPIC.

SUPPORT LOS ALAMOS AS A TOURIST DESTINATION. SUPPORT OF BIKE LOOP CONCEPT, QUALITY OF LIFE FOR COMMUNITY.

TA54 - TOO MUCH EFFORT IN THIS CLEANUP AND NOT DOING ENOUGH WITH THE CLEAN UP OF THE LEGACY CLEANUP.

TAKE A HIGHER PROFILE ROLE.

TAKE FOCUS AWAY FROM WEAPONRY AND MOVE IT TO ENERGY AND INNOVATIONS IN OTHER AREAS THAT SOLVE REAL LIFE PROBLEMS.

TAKES TIME REACHING OUT TO THE RIGHT PEOPLE.

THE BUSINESS MENTORING, THE VENTURE ACCELERATION FUND AND BUSINESS ASSISTANCE PROGRAM COULD BE MORE VISIBLE WITH THE LOCAL BUSINESSES. MORE CLOSE OUTREACH IS NEEDED BECAUSE SOME OF THE LOCAL BUSINESSES ARE NOT AWARE THAT THESE PROGRAMS EXIST. I FEEL OUR DEPARTMENT (NM DEPARTMENT OF INDIAN AFFAIRS AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM) COULD BE A GREAT PROMOTER FOR THESE

PROGRAMS.

THE ENVIRONMENT TO ENTICE KIDS IN SCHOOL/WHAT JOBS THEY CAN GET TO HELP OUT THE ENVIRONMENT.

THE LAB NEEDS TO STRONGLY EMPHASIZE ITS ECONOMIC IMPACT ON THE NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES AND SPEAK WITH ONE VOICE. DIFFERENT DEPARTMENTS SAY DIFFERENT THINGS.

THE LAB GIVES THE SUBCONTRACTORS A LOT OF LEVERAGE IN WHAT TO SUPPORT AND THAT IS A STRENGTH. BUT IN THE PAST YEAR SMALL BUSINESSES HAVE BEEN DECIMATED.

THE LAB NEEDS TO BE PATIENT WITH CHALLENGES HERE. LONG-TERM CONTINUOUS INVESTMENT NEEDED. NEED MORE LOCAL SUPPLIERS, MAKE THEM AVAILABLE AND REGULATE THEM.

THE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER PROGRAM CAN DO MORE OUTREACH IN THE PROXIMITY OF LOS ALAMOS. THEY COULD DO A BETTER JOB WITH THE PEOPLE THEY ARE CONTRACTING WITH. THEY SHOULD CREATE MORE OPPORTUNITIES WITH THE CONTRACTORS LOCALLY TO CREATE MORE JOB OPPORTUNITIES.

THEIR SUPPORT IS NOTICED AND APPRECIATED, PLEASE CONTINUE TO FOCUS ON THESE PROGRAMS.

THEIR TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER EFFORTS SHOULD INCREASE BY TENFOLD. WHAT THEY DO NOW IS GOOD, BUT MINISCULE IN TERMS OF DOLLARS.

THERE NEEDS TO BE AND IMPROVEMENT IN THE LEVEL AND AMOUNT OF COMMUNICATIONS BETWEEN THE LAB AND NORTHERN NEW MEXICO BUSINESSES.

THEY ARE DOING AS MUCH AS THEY CAN.

THEY ARE ONE OF THE FEW ORGANIZATIONS THAT ARE HELPING AND ONE OF THE BEST VS. NMMC.

THEY COULD DO BETTER ON PUBLIC RELATIONS AND ACCESS TO PROGRAMS THEY'RE OFFERING.

THEY HAVE DONE A POOR JOB OF SHOWING THE COMMUNITY OF WHAT LANL IS DOING FOR THE COMMUNITY HERE. EXACTLY WHAT THEIR PRESENCE DOES FOR US.

THEY HAVE THE TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER. WE HAVE NOT HIT THE TOTAL MARK THERE. WE NEED MORE WAYS TO IMPROVE OUR ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT.

THEY MAKE IT DIFFICULT FOR SMALL BUSINESSES TO DO WORK WITH THEM. THEY MAKE IT DIFFICULT TO FIND PARTNERSHIPS WITH BUSINESSES WITH SOME VALUES. THEY ARE PUSHING US OUT.

THEY MUST WORK WITH THE LANL COMMUNITY COALITION.

THEY NEED TO COMMUNICATE MORE WITHIN THE REGION. MORE BROADLY OUTSIDE THE NETWORK (I.E., TAOS ENTREPRENEURIAL NETWORK - NOT EVERYONE IS IN THE NETWORK.)

THEY NEED TO FOCUS MORE ON GOING FOR THE COMPANY WITH THE MOST CAPABILITY INSTEAD OF THE LOWEST BIDDER.

THEY NEED TO HELP THE PEOPLE SOON TO BE UNEMPLOYED BY LANL WITH RETIREMENT PACKAGES AND POSSIBLE FUNDING TO START A SMALL BUSINESS.

THEY NEED TO MAKE EVERY EFFORT TO WORK WITH LOCAL BUSINESSES, WHENEVER THEY CAN RATHER THAN OUT OF STATE ENTITIES.

THEY'RE DOING GOOD HERE.

TO CONTINUE FUNDING ALL PROGRAMS THAT THEY ALREADY ARE. (NMSBA, ENF, ETC...)

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS REGARDING LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES (CONTINUED)

QUESTION 26 (CONTINUED): DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS ABOUT THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO IMPROVE ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT INITIATIVES?

TO FURTHER BUILD FOUNDATIONS OR SYSTEMS OF REVENUE FLOW THAT WOULD COMPLEMENT CURRENT AND EXISTING SCHOLARSHIP OPPORTUNITIES WITH UC SCHOOL SYSTEMS AND IN STATE. THIS WAY EDUCATION AND OUTREACH IS FEASIBLE FOR AREAS OF CONCERNS.

TO PROVIDE MORE FINANCIAL ASSISTANCE TO SMALL BUSINESSES IN LAS VEGAS, NEW MEXICO.

TRYING TO DO SOME GOOD THINGS BUT THE PROGRAMS PUTTING OUT THERE NOT FULLY ALIGNED IN A WAY THAT IS USEFUL TO BUSINESS COMMUNITY. DON'T FOCUS ON POLITICAL APPEARANCES THAT HAVE LITTLE MEANINGFUL IMPACT.

VENTURE CAPITAL INITIATIVE IS GOOD, BUT NEEDS TO BE MORE FOCUSED FOR NEW MEXICO BUSINESSES AND COMMUNITIES. MORE OF A VESTED INTEREST IN NEW MEXICO IS NEEDED, ESPECIALLY IN NATIVE AMERICAN COMMUNITIES. UNDERSTAND HOW TO HELP THE LOCAL BUSINESSES BECOME SUCCESSFUL.

WE DO NOT HEAR ABOUT THEIR EFFORTS IN THE COMMUNITY. WE NEED MORE SPECIFIC INFORMATION ABOUT WHAT THEY ARE DOING IN ESPANOLA. BETTER PR EFFORTS.

WE HAVE FEW SMALL BUSINESSES AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE PUBLIC AWARENESS ABOUT THE PROJECTS AND THE OPPORTUNITIES THAT ARE AVAILABLE. GIVE OUR SMALL BUSINESSES OPPORTUNITIES AND I WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE COLLABORATION IN THIS AREA.

WE NEED DOWN-TO-EARTH EFFORTS THAT APPLY TO THE TRIBES LIKE BUILDING MATERIALS, BUILDING WAREHOUSES AND RENTING SPACE. FOCUS ON THINGS THAT WE CAN HANDLE. WE HAVE PLENTY OF FIELD DIRT AVAILABLE INSTEAD OF THEM TEARING DOWN THE HILLS AND MOUNTAINS TO GET DIRT. WE ALREADY HAVE A LEVELED AREA.

WE NEED MORE FUNDS, LOANS AND FINANCING.

WISH THEY WOULD CONSIDER THE NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES/ THE NORTHERN REGIONAL AREA WHEN THEY LOOK AT THE CONTRACTS AND USING THEM. TOO MANY CONTRACTS GO TO ALBUQUERQUE BUSINESSES BECAUSE OF LOWER BIDS, BUT THEY DO NOT HAVE A VESTED INTEREST IN THE NORTHERN COMMUNITIES IN THE WAY SMALL NORTHERN BUSINESSES DO. THEREFOR THE NORTHERN COMMUNITIES CANNOT GROW ECONOMICALLY.

WORK MORE WITH GRASSROOTS ORGANIZATIONS.

WORKING IN LOCAL AREA, LIVING IN THE LOCAL AREA AND SPENDING IN THE LOCAL AREA. OFFER INITIATIVES FOR PEOPLE TO LIVE IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO (RIO ARRIBA), NOT JUST SANTA FE COUNTY. THE LAB COULD HELP INITIATE LAND TRACKS IN RIO ARRIBA FOR AFFORDABLE HOUSING.

WOULD HOPE THAT LAB WOULD DEAL DIRECTLY WITH EDOs.

WOULD LIKE TO BE IN THE LOOP.

WOULD LIKE TO SEE THEIR INNOVATIONS MORE VISIBLE. MORE COOPERATION WITH THE SMALL BUSINESS COMMUNITY.

YES, I WOULD LIKE TO SUGGEST WORK WITH REGIONAL MEMBER OF THE NEW LANL COALITIONS AND ESPECIALLY SANTA FE, TAOS, AND RIO ARRIBA COUNTIES TO PROVIDE CONTRACT PROCUREMENTS GOODS AND SERVICES, ESTABLISH LANL SATELLITE OFFICES IN ESPANOLA VALLEY. I RECENTLY MET WITH LANL'S NEW TECHNOLOGY TRANSFER DIRECTOR AND LANL IN ESPANOLA. ESPANOLA CAN EASILY DEVELOP AN INFRASTRUCTURE AS IT IS READY FOR GROWTH WITH FIVE CHARTER SCHOOLS, NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COLLEGE, AND MANY PRIVATE SCHOOLS.

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS REGARDING LAB'S EFFORTS TO WORK WITH NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS

QUESTION 27: DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS ABOUT THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO WORK WITH NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS?

DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY (145)

APPRECIATE THAT THEY SEE THE VALUE IN DOING SO.  
BROADEN THEM THROUGHOUT NORTHERN NEW MEXICO.  
COCHITI HAS BENEFITTED FROM THESE EFFORTS AND SO WE ARE VERY HAPPY.  
CONTINUE WHAT THEY'RE DOING. I CANNOT SAY ENOUGH, THEY DO A GREAT JOB.  
CONTRIBUTE MORE AND HELP NONPROFITS BE AWARE OF ACCESS TO MONEY FROM LANL.  
CURRENTLY QUITE GOOD AND BROAD THROUGH WORK OF COMMUNITY PROGRAM OFFICE.  
DEEP APPRECIATION.  
DO THE LAB WORK WITH OTHER FOUNDATIONS IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO?  
EFFORTS HAVE GREATLY IMPROVED OVER THE LAST 10 YEARS AND THERE IS CONTINUED  
POSITIVE MOVEMENT FORWARD.  
EXCEPTIONAL JOB.  
EXPAND MATCHING FUND OPPORTUNITIES.  
EXPAND THEIR REACH SO NOT SOLELY FOCUSED ON MATH AND SCIENCE AND START INCLUDING  
THE HUMANITIES AND THE ARTS.  
EXPANDING THEIR FOCUS TO INCLUDE NONPROFITS THAT ADDRESS QUALITY OF LIFE ISSUES OR  
NONPROFITS OUTSIDE OF THE STEM ARENA.  
EXTRAORDINARILY SUPPORTIVE. THEY GO OUT OF THEIR WAY TO SUPPORT NONPROFITS.  
FUNDING MORE INNOVATIVE PROJECTS THAT THE NONPROFITS DO.  
GET MORE INVOLVED WITH THE DIFFERENT NO PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS.  
GOOD EFFORTS. BETTER DONE THROUGH INDIVIDUAL LAB EMPLOYEE EFFORTS. MAKE LAB  
EMPLOYEES AWARE OF THE OPPORTUNITIES TO VOLUNTEER.  
GOOD JOB WORKING THROUGH UNITED WAY AND SUPPORTING NONPROFITS MEETING  
COMMUNITY NEEDS.  
GOOD JOB (3)  
GREAT JOB. APPRECIATE IT.  
HAVE A GOOD SYNERGY WITH THE SFCOC.  
HELP FUND MORE AT-RISK YOUTH AREAS OF NONPROFITS.  
HOPE THAT CONTINUE AND INCREASE SUPPORT OF LANL FOUNDATION.  
I AM NOT INVOLVED LATELY WITH LANL AS THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE HAS HAD OTHER  
PRIORITIES. AT THE PROGRAM LEVEL THERE HAS BEEN GREAT COOPERATION BETWEEN  
LANL AND THE SANTA CLARA PUEBLO.  
I AM NOT TOO FAMILIAR WITH THESE AREAS. IT'S HARD TO DISTINGUISH BETWEEN THE FOR-  
PROFITS AND NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS.  
I AM PLEASED WITH THEIR EFFORTS.  
I CAN SEE LANL PARTICIPATION IN ALL OF THEM AND HELPING OUT WITH ALL OF THE  
NONPROFITS.  
I DON'T KNOW WHAT EFFORTS THEY ARE MAKING RIGHT NOW. SOME NONPROFITS ARE NOT  
SOMETHING WE WANT TO HELP, AS I AM NOT A TREE-HUGGER. YET CANCER AND THE RED  
CROSS ARE NONPROFITS TO BE HELPED.  
I FEEL THEY ARE CONDESCENDING TO OTHER ORGANIZATIONS RATHER THAN ENGAGING  
PARTNERSHIPS. THEY HAVE CUT BACK ON THESE AREAS. THEY COULD DO BETTER WITH THE  
LOCAL COMMUNITIES.  
I HAVEN'T HEARD ANY COMPLAINTS FROM NONPROFITS. BUT THERE IS VERY LITTLE OF THIS

WORK. NEED MORE EFFORT PLACED INTO ASSISTANCE WHETHER IT IS CHARITABLE OR NOT.  
I HOPE YOU WILL BE ABLE TO CONTINUE TO WORK WITH THE NONPROFITS.  
I THINK DOING A PRETTY GOOD JOB BY AND LARGE. UNITED WAY MONEY SHOULD BE SPREAD  
OUT TO SANTA FE, ESPERANZA, ETC... CREATE A LANL FOUNDATION TO SUBMIT  
PROPOSALS TO ASK FOR FUNDING. BOARD TO TAKE ACTIVE ROLE TO DETERMINE WHO GET  
MONEY. INCREDIBLE WORK ON EDUCATION, HOMELESSNESS, AND POVERTY CAN BE DONE  
FROM YEAR TO YEAR. THIS WILL IMPROVE LANL'S VISIBILITY AND PUBLIC RELATIONS.  
I THINK THAT POSITIVE IMPACT WHEN YOU HELP NEEDY PEOPLE TO HELP THEMSELVES. MUST  
FORMULATE COMMON GROUND AND KEEP HELPING THE LESS FORTUNATE AT THAT SHOWS  
SENSITIVITY.  
I THINK THEY HAVE A GOOD CAMPAIGN WITH THE UNITED WAY. THEY SHOULD CHOOSE OTHER  
NON-PROFITS TO ASSIST.  
I THINK THEY NEED A LOT MORE OUTREACH TO NONPROFITS. GIVE A LENDING HAND, NOT JUST  
A HAND OUT. YOU COULD MAKE A HUGE DIFFERENCE WITH PROMOTION ON TELEVISION,  
RADIO AND MARKETING ETC...  
I THINK THEY SHOULD EMPHASIZE OPENNESS AND TRANSPARENCY WITH NONPROFITS AS MUCH  
AS THEY CAN.  
I WOULD LIKE THE LIAISON AT LANL TO CALL ME. LT. GOVERNOR JOSEPH TALACHI AT 505-  
629-6659.  
I WOULD LIKE TO SEE LANL BRING SOME PROJECTS TO LAS VEGAS. LAS VEGAS NEEDS TO GET  
ITS SHARE, SO LET'S IDENTIFY SOME PROJECTS BETWEEN LAS VEGAS AND LANL.  
I WOULD SAY GOOD, IF THEY DO MORE. MOST NONPROFITS USUALLY HAVE PEOPLE IN NEED, SO  
THAT'S A GOOD THING.  
I'D LIKE TO HAVE A LIST TO SEE WHAT NONPROFITS THEY WORK WITH. I HAVEN'T SEEN IT.  
I'M NOT TOO AWARE OF WHAT THEY'RE DOING IN THIS AREA.  
IMPORT INFORMATION AND EXAMPLES OF PROGRAMS THAT THEY WORK WITH.  
IT'S LIKE PULLING TEETH. HOPE AND CCNS ARE NONPROFITS AND THEY DON'T WORK WELL  
WITH THEM.  
JUST CONTINUE SUPPORTING AND BRANCH OUT TO ENCOURAGE THE YOUTH TO PARTICIPATE IN  
SERVICE LEARNING PROJECTS. MAYBE IMPLEMENT SOME GRANTS FOR THESE PROJECTS.  
LAB SHOULD PRIORITIZE MAIN PLAYERS IN DIFFERENT STRATA IN DIFFERENT COMMUNITIES AND  
THEN EMPOWER THE GROUPS TO HAVE THEM DO MORE. THERE WOULD BE MORE EXPANSION  
AND ACCESS.  
LANL DOES A LOT TO RAISE FUNDS. \$85 MILLION TO THE STATE. DIVERSIFYING OUR MISSION  
CAN TURN INTO JOINT RESEARCH WITH OTHER COMPANIES. SNL HAS SET UP A MECHANISM  
WITH A BIG COMPLEX THAT IS VERY HELPFUL IN THE ALBUQUERQUE METRO AREA. THIS  
GIVES THEM A LEG UP WITH THE RAIL RUNNER TRAIN AND OTHER TRANSPORTATION.  
LANL FOUNDATIONS HAS BEEN REALLY GOOD TO US.  
LANL HAS SMART PEOPLE AND THEY NEED TO REACH THE COMMUNITY IN A BETTER WAY.  
LANL IS DOING A FANTASTIC JOB.  
LEADERSHIP LOS ALAMOS.  
LONG TERM COMMITMENT IS OF CONCERN. SHORT TERM DOLLARS DO NOT SERVE LONG TERM  
NEEDS. LANL FOUNDATION NEEDS LONG TERM FUNDING TO EFFECTIVELY WORK ON LONG  
TERM MISSION.

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS REGARDING LAB'S EFFORTS TO WORK WITH NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS (CONTINUED)

QUESTION 27 (CONTINUED): DO YOU HAVE ANY OTHER COMMENTS ABOUT THE LAB'S EFFORTS TO WORK WITH NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS?

MORE ENGAGED FINANCIALLY WITH NONPROFITS IN SANTA FE COUNTY. MORE PHILANTHROPIC EFFORTS IN SANTA FE COUNTY.

MORE WORK AND MORE VISIBILITY.

MY KNOWLEDGE IS THAT THEY DON'T DO ANYTHING. SO ANYTHING WOULD BE AN IMPROVEMENT.

NEED MORE COMMUNITY OUTREACH.

NEED TO DO MORE IN THIS AREA. .

NEED TO GET WORD OUT ABOUT WHAT THEY ARE DOING IN THIS AREA.

NEED TO REACH OUT TO LOS ALAMOS NON-PROFITS. DURING THE GIVING CAMPAIGN A LOT OF MONEY GOES OUT OF COUNTY AND OUT OF STATE, WHILE SOME OF THE NEEDS IN LOS ALAMOS COUNTY ARE NOT BEING MET.

NNMCAB GETS ITS FUNDING THROUGH THE DOE. WHEN UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA RAN IT, EVERYTHING WORKED WILL. THINGS HAVE CHANGED SINCE LANS, LLC TOOK OVER.

NO, I LOOK FORWARD TO CONTINUED SUPPORT AND FOR WORKING WITH LANL ON MY GROUPS: DEL NORTE YOUTH ALLIANCE HERE IN VALLEY: BOYS AND GIRLS CLUB, AND TO CREATE AN ADVOCACY GROUP FOR ADULTS.

NO, THEY DO A GOOD JOB THERE.

NOT AWARE OF PROGRAMS IF THEY ARE NOT DONE THROUGH THE FOUNDATION.

OPPOSED TO MATCHING FUNDS WITH RELIGIOUS ORGANIZATIONS.

PLEASE SEND ME LANL'S NONPROFIT INFORMATION TO: JRMADALENA@FSIPINC.ORG. WE ARE FIVE SANDOVAL INDIAN PUEBLOS INC. PUEBLOS OF ZIA, COCHITI, SANTA ANA AND JEMEZ NEED LANL'S HELP AND RESOURCES TO UPGRADE COMPUTERS, HEADSTART FOR CHILDREN, HEALTH ISSUES LIKE SUBSTANCE ABUSE, AND STUDENTS TO GET JOBS.

PROVIDE MORE OPPORTUNITY AND PRIOR NOTICE OF WHAT THEY ARE PLANNING SO NONPROFITS CAN READ IT IN ADVANCE TO TRY TO PARTICIPATE.

PURSUE WHAT CURRENTLY DOING.

REALIZE NOT JUST IN LOS ALAMOS, AND BALANCE OUT FUNDS WITH THE REST OF NORTHERN NEW MEXICO. BUT, DO NOT ALLOW SCALE TO TIP ONE WAY OR THE OTHER. MUST ENHANCE OR MAINTAIN FUNDS TO LOS ALAMOS SO THAT THEY ARE NOT SHORTCHANGED.

REALLY GOOD EFFORT FROM LANL EMPLOYEES. ESPECIALLY ON EDUCATIONAL PART OF MATH AND SCIENCE.

SAME ANSWER AS #25 OPEN MORE DOORS TO EVERYONE. THIS HAS CHANGED RECENTLY SO THERE ARE FEWER OPPORTUNITIES/FUNDS AVAILABLE NOW.

SAME ANSWER AS #26. THEY ARE GOOD AMBASSADORS IN COMMUNITY BUT TOUGH JOB DUE TO EXPECTATIONS.

STANDARD NONPROFITS TAKE AWAY FROM MONEY FOR EDUCATION.

THE LAB MATCHES FUNDS DONATED TO THE UNITED WAY FOR SPECIFIC DESIGNATIONS.

UNFORTUNATELY, MOST NORTHERN NEW MEXICANS ARE DESIGNATING DONATIONS TO CHURCHES. ALL FINE AND GOOD, BUT THE FUNDS COULD BE USED FOR OTHER PURPOSES (I.E., HOMELESSNESS, HEALTHCARE, FEEING CHILDREN, ETC. - NOT BUILDING ANOTHER CHURCH OR ADDITION)

THE MORE THE BETTER. THEIR CLOUT AND EMPLOYEE BASE ALLOW THEM TO DO A LOT BUT THE MORE THEY DEMONSTRATE THE BETTER FOR THE COMMUNITY.

THEIR PARTNERING WITH NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS, SUCH AS THE S.R.D. CORPORATION SHOULD BE CONTINUED.

THERE COULD BE COLLABORATIONS BETWEEN NONPROFITS AND TRIBAL ORGANIZATIONS TO BETTER BRIDGE OPPORTUNITIES AND OUTREACH.

THEY ARE VERY GENEROUS IN THIS AREA.

THEY ARE VERY SUPPORTIVE OF A LOT OF PROGRAMS.

THEY COULD DO A LOT MORE TO SELL LANL TO THE PUBLIC. BUSINESSES NEED TO THRIVE WITH 10,000 EMPLOYEES, SHOULD GET BUSINESSES TO SUPPORT THEM. MONEY TALKS AND THEY NEED TO ENCOURAGE IT.

THEY DO A GOOD JOB. NEED TO CONTINUE.

THEY DO A VERY GOOD JOB WITH NONPROFITS. CONTRACTORS THAT ARE SUPPOSED TO HELP WITH ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT IN LOS ALAMOS COULD CONTRIBUTE MORE IN ESPANOLA. WE COULD HAVE ALL CONTRACTORS BE A PART OF OUR ESPANOLA CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND TO ENGAGE WITH OUR BUSINESS COMMUNITY.

THEY DO GOOD WORK.

THEY GO BEYOND THEIR MEANS, THEY HAVE PROVIDED A LOT OF SUPPORT FOR US.

THEY JUST SEVERED THEIR AGREEMENT WITH COMMUNITY FOUNDATION.

THEY WORK AND TRY REALLY HARD. FIGURE OUT WHERE THEY COULD GET MORE BANG FOR THEIR MONEY.

TO REACH OUT TO NORTHERN NEW MEXICO COMMUNITIES IN NEED. (LAS VEGAS, NM)

VERY COLLABORATIVE AND INFORMATIVE. SOLID AND APPROPRIATE.

VERY HAPPY WITH THEIR EFFORTS.

VERY HELPFUL WITH THE TAOS ENTREPRENEUR NETWORK.

VERY THRILLED ABOUT THEIR EFFORTS AND PARTICIPATION WITH NON-PROFIT ORGANIZATIONS.

WISH WE COULD MAKE A BIGGER IMPACT IN RIO ARRIBA COUNTY.

WOULD LIKE TO KNOW MORE ABOUT THEIR EFFORTS.

WOULD LIKE TO KNOW MORE ABOUT THEIR PROGRAMS.

WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE GRANTS GIVEN TO NONPROFITS.

YES, REFORM THE UNITED WAY FUNDS MATCHING PROGRAM SO THAT LOCAL NONPROFITS ARE NOT SQUEEZED OUT. THAT WOULD HELP A LOT.

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS REGARDING LABORATORY IN GENERAL

QUESTION 28: IS THERE ANYTHING REGARDING THE LABORATORY THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON?

DON'T KNOW/WON'T SAY (105)

1. PROCUREMENT APPROACH IS DIFFICULT TO UNDERSTAND AND MANEUVER FROM THE SMALL BUSINESS SUPPLIER PERSPECTIVE. 2. IF YOU DON'T HAVE CONNECTIONS IT IS VERY DIFFICULT. 3. EFFICIENCY/COST CUTTING HAS BEEN BASED ON NUMBERS AND NOT ON PRODUCTIVITY. 4. THEY DON'T TAKE TIME TO GET INTERNAL SMALL BUSINESS PERSPECTIVES. 5. THEY DON'T MAKE EFFICIENT USE OF RESOURCES. 6. SPEAKING UP IS NEGATIVELY RECEIVED AND HAS A NEGATIVE IMPACT ON PERSON SPEAKING UP. APPLAUD THEIR MONTHLY NEWSLETTER AND EFFECTIVE COMMUNICATION ABOUT RANGE OF RESEARCH THEY DO. APPRECIATE THE INITIATIVE TO CALL AND GET FEEDBACK FROM THE COMMUNITY. AS A LAYPERSON, I WONDER HOW GENERAL PUBLIC GOES ABOUT CONTACTING THE LAB ABOUT EMPLOYMENT THERE. IF YOU HAVE QUESTIONS - NO EASY ANSWERS. THAT'S WHY THE LAB TAKES SUCH A HIT IN THE PUBLIC RELATIONS DEPARTMENT. LANL'S HISTORY OF SECRETS IN THE PAST MAY NOT BE LESSENED UNTIL THE NEXT GENERATION. AS AN EDUCATOR AND NEW MEXICO CITIZEN WE ARE PLEASED TO HAVE LANL HERE IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO. HOPE THEY ARE AGGRESSIVE IN REMAINING HERE AND GETTING FUNDING. AS FAR AS I AM CONCERNED, THEY ARE MAKING GREAT STRIDES, SO CONTINUE ON THE PATH OF GREATER COMMUNITY AWARENESS AND ASSESSING LANL'S MISSIONS. BETTER PR ON POSITIVE THINGS THEY ARE DOING. BLESSING THAT THEY ARE HERE AT ALL. LAB NEED TO TAKE MORE OWNERSHIP ABOUT ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES, ESPECIALLY WITH WATER ISSUES. BY AND LARGE THEY ARE DOING A GREAT JOB. CLEAN THINGS UP AND DON'T BUILD ON THE FAULT LINE. CLEAN UP. THE ENVIRONMENT AND PLUTONIUM HAZARD REQUIRE MORE OF A PRIORITY AND SENSITIVITY FOR THE CITIZENS IN THESE COMMUNITIES. COMMUNICATION WITH VENDOR BASED CONTRACTS NEED TO BE IMPROVED. CONTINUE THE GOOD WORK IN REACHING OUT. CONTINUE TO OPERATE AS THEY ARE AND BRING JOBS TO THE COMMUNITIES. CONTINUED ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP, ESPECIALLY WITH PUEBLOS, IS IMPORTANT. CRITICAL PART OF THIS COMMUNITY AND NORTHERN REGION. CRITICAL THAT THEY CONTINUE TO BE FUNDED. DO MORE PUBLIC AWARENESS ON WHAT THEY ARE DOING IN THEIR OUTREACH EFFORTS/PROGRAM. UTILIZE THEIR RESOURCES TO WORK MORE CLOSELY WITH FEDERAL AGENCIES IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO, INCLUDING THE BIA. EFFORTS TO REACH OUT TO LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICTS ARE VERY GOOD. NEW MANAGEMENT HAS HAD A NEGATIVE EFFECT ON SCIENTIFIC AND TECHNICAL WORK THE LAB IS DOING. EXCELLENT JOB AND EXCELLENT RESOURCES FOR THE SCHOOLS. EXCELLENT REPUTATION. EXPAND PARTNERSHIPS BEYOND CURRENT BOUNDARIES. DOWNSTREAM TRIBES ARE BEING ENGAGED AS MUCH. FABULOUS RESEARCH INSTITUTION BUT THEY NEED TO LET PEOPLE KNOW ABOUT THE DIFFERENT AREAS OF RESEARCH IN WHICH THEY ARE INVOLVED (ASIDE FROM PLUTONIUM) SUCH AS BIOMEDICAL AND SUSTAINABLE ENERGY.

FEDERAL CONTRACTS TO BUSINESSES IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO SHOULD REQUEST LOCAL ORDINANCE AND LICENSING REGULATIONS. FOCUS SHOULD BE ON K-12 STEM AND LANL CAN BE LEAD ORGANIZATION IN THIS EFFORT. GETTING AWAY FROM U OF C OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT HAS NOT BEEN FAVORABLE FOR THE LAB. THE FOR PROFIT COMPANY MANAGING THE LAB NOW IS NOT BENEFICIAL. GIVE MONEY TO SCHOOL FOR KIDS TO BE TRANSPORTED TO VISIT THE BRADBURY MUSEUM. TAKES KIDS TO SANTA FE AND THEY LOVE IT, ALSO TO GONZALES RANCH IN LEYBA AND TO LAS VEGAS, NEW MEXICO. WE ALL NEED TO WORK TOGETHER. GIVE MORE SMALL BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES TO SMALL NORTHERN NEW MEXICO BUSINESSES. GOOD LUCK! GRATEFUL THEY ARE HERE. GREAT ASSET TO THE PUEBLO AND OUR ECONOMY. HOPE BUDGETS ARE PROTECTED. GREAT WORK. HOPE IT STAYS STRONG AND FUNDING ISN'T CUT. HOPE THE LAB FIND A COMMON VOICE TO LOBBY WASHINGTON FOR THE LAB CONTINUED OPERATION. HOPE THEY ARE ABLE TO HANG ON TO THEIR BUDGET. I ALSO APPRECIATE THE TIME AND MENTORING THEIR STAFF DO. I AM GLAD THEY ARE HERE AND I APPRECIATE THE CLEAN-UP THEY'RE DOING. I APPRECIATE THE LAB'S LEADERSHIP AND I WISH THE NNSA WOULD LISTEN TO THEM MORE. I BELIEVE IT IS A GREAT ECONOMIC FLAGSHIP FOR NM BUT IT COULD DO MORE FOR OTHER BUSINESS OPPORTUNITIES, LIKE CONTRACT WITHIN THE STATE AND PARTNER WITH STATE COLLEGES FOR RESEARCH. I HEAR ABOUT A LOT OF STAFF DISSATISFACTION THAT THEY ARE NOT VALUED OR LISTENED TO. THAT THEY WANT LIVERMORE TO SUCCEED AT THE EXPENSE OF LOS ALAMOS. STATE LEGISLATURE IS ANTAGONISTIC AND UNREALISTIC ABOUT IMPACT OF LAW OF FUNDING AND LAB NEEDS TO REMOVE VEIL FROM LEGISLATURE'S LACK OF INSIGHT ABOUT LOSS OF FUNDING. I LIKE THE INVOLVEMENT THAT THE LAB HAS WITH THE NATIVE AMERICAN COMMUNITY. THIS ENCOURAGES A MORE DIVERSE GROUP TO WORK WITH. I MET WITH THE GENERAL MANAGER AT LANL. IN ORDER FOR HIM TO ACHIEVE POSITIVE CHANGES HE NEEDS TO BUILD ON PAST SUCCESSES IN THE COMMUNITY AND LOCAL GOVERNMENT. THEN, THE LAB WILL BECOME MORE ACCEPTED. THIS OPENNESS WILL ALLEVIATE SOME OF THE FEARS OF ENDURING RESEARCH OR STORIES OF THE UNKNOWN CAUSING FEAR. LANL NEEDS TO CONTINUE TO DO WHAT THEY ARE DOING TO HELP PEOPLE WITH THIS TOPIC. I SIT ON THE BOARD OF BECKMAN DIRECT DIVERSION AND WE USED TO HAVE A LANL REPRESENTATIVE AT OUR MEETINGS AND NO ONE HAS BEEN COMING FROM LANL. I SUPPORT LANL AS THEY ARE TRYING TO CLEAN UP COCHITI. THEY HAVE ALWAYS KEPT US ABREAST OF ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES FOR THE LAST TWENTY YEARS. THINGS HAVE GONE BETTER DUE TO THEIR TRANSPARENCY. THEY INFORM THE PUBLIC OF DANGER. THIS IS A GOOD SPECIAL RELATIONSHIP WITH LANL. I THINK IT'S BECAUSE IT'S LOCATED IN LOS ALAMOS. IT IS ALSO AN ECONOMIC DRIVER AND NOT ONLY IN LOS ALAMOS DOES LANL DRIVE THE ECONOMY IN NEW MEXICO.

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS REGARDING LABORATORY IN GENERAL (CONTINUED)

QUESTION 28 (CONTINUED): IS THERE ANYTHING REGARDING THE LABORATORY THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON?

I THINK LANL WORKS HARD ON OUTREACH. SOMETIMES, I THINK THEY ARE INTERESTED IN PARTNERING WITH THE OTHER ENTITY IS NOT INTERESTED IN BEING A PARTNER WITH THEM, BUT A RECEIVER AND POSSIBLE EVEN AN ANTAGONIST. AT THAT POINT LANL LOOKS WEEK. THE LAB SHOULD STAND UP FOR ITSELF MORE.

I THINK MR. McMILLAN IS DOING A GOOD JOB AND TREATS PEOPLE WELL. IF THEY MAINTAIN THEIR CURRENT FUNDING LEVELS, THEN PEOPLE WON'T HAVE TO LEAVE THEIR JOBS.

I THINK THEY CONTINUE TO IMPROVE IN ALL CATEGORIES, ALTHOUGH MY ANSWERS WERE NOT FOURS. IT'S A PHILOSOPHICAL ISSUE AND I WAS NOT FAMILIAR ENOUGH TO GIVE THEM FOURS.

I WANT TO SEE THE LAB GROW AND EXPAND. THE FEDERAL DOLLARS COME INTO THE LAB REALIZE IT HAS A RESPONSIBILITY TO ENTER INTO GENERAL WORKING PARTNERSHIPS WITH LARGE AND SMALL BUSINESSES TO CREATE A GOOD ECONOMIC IMPACT. TAX DOLLARS THAT LANL RECEIVES ARE TAXPAYER DOLLARS (I.E., SHEET METAL WORK AT 60% FROM ALBUQUERQUE AND 40% WORK FROM SMALL BUSINESSES IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO.)

I WOULD HAVE LIKED THEM TO HAVE A MEETING WITH THE NEW TRIBAL LIAISON WITH SOME OF THE BUSINESS PEOPLE, NOT JUST THE GOVERNOR'S OFFICE.

I WOULD LIKE TO VISIT AND TAKE A TOUR OF THE LAB.

IF THEY ARE A GOOD CORPORATE CITIZEN OF NORTHERN NEW MEXICO THEN THEY NEED TO GET THE WORD OUT ABOUT THEIR CONTRIBUTION.

IN GENERAL, WOULD URGE THEM TO KEEP THE VISION OF THEIR MISSION. SPEND MORE TIME IN TRAINING THAN DOING.

IT'S GREAT THAT LANL'S DIRECTOR LIVES IN LOS ALAMOS. NOW, I SEE THE LAB MORE AS A COMMUNITY PARTNER.

I'VE BEEN RATHER UNHAPPY THAT THE VAST MAJORITY OF UPPER MANAGEMENT DOESN'T LIVE HERE. THERE IS A LACK OF DIRECT INVOLVEMENT.

JUST THAT THE PENDING DOWNSIZE TO THE LAB IS AFFECTING THE WHOLE COMMUNITY.

KEEP UP THE GOOD WORK.

KEEP WORKING WITH THE COMMUNITIES.

KURT STEINAHUS IS AMAZING. CHARLIE McMILLAN IS GREAT - IS HEAD OF LAB - MAKES A HUGE DIFFERENCE.

LAB EMPLOYEES AND THE GENERAL LEVEL OF THE LOS ALAMOS ENVIRONMENT ARE EXCLUSIONARY. MARKED DISPARITY BETWEEN LAB EMPLOYEES (PROFESSIONALS, CLERKS, AND PH.DS.) AND THE REGULAR CITIZENS.

LAB HAS DONE A POOR JOB OF LETTING GENERAL POPULATION UNDERSTAND THEIR USES AND SOURCES OF FUNDING. ARE THERE OTHER OPTIONS AVAILABLE? PR AND COMMUNICATION REVAMPING IS NEEDED.

LAB IS INCREDIBLE RESOURCE FOR US. THEY NEED TO DIVERSIFY THEIR BRAIN TRUST TO ADDRESS MANY OTHER ISSUES.

LAB IS UNDER FINANCIAL CHALLENGES SO THEY SHOULD BECOME MORE AGGRESSIVE IN DIVERSITY, MISSION, OR SHOW HOW THEY ARE DOING. SO IF THEY ARE, THEY NEED A STRONG OUTREACH TO BUILD ADVOCACY AND CONSTITUENCY IN COMMUNITIES THROUGHOUT THE STATE.

LAB SHOULD BE IN BUSINESS OF BEING A GOOD LABORATORY FOR THE NATION AND WE SHOULDN'T EXPECT THEM TO DO SO MUCH LOCALLY.

LAB HANDS ARE TIED DUE TO FEDERAL CUTBACKS, BUT IT HAS A TERRIBLE IMPACT ON

ECONOMY AND SMALL BUSINESS SECTOR, NO PROFITS AND EVERYTHING ELSE.

CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATION NEEDS TO GET MORE ACTIVE TO TRY TO INCREASE/STABILIZE FUNDING - LEADS TO A LOT OF INSTABILITY IN LOTS OF AREAS IN COMMUNITY.

LANL HAS NOT FORMALLY REACHED OUT TO OUR VILLAGE, EXCEPT FOR THEIR LETTERS IN 2011. I NEED TO KNOW WHO THE SENIOR PERSON WHO HANDLES COMMUNITY OUTREACH IS.

LANL IS AN EXCELLENT PARTNER IN AREAS OF DEVELOPMENT AND EDUCATION. I HOPE THE COMMUNITY PARTNERS BUILD THEIR OWN CAPACITY TO LEVERAGE THESE ASSETS.

LANL IS DOING A GREAT JOB AT COLLABORATING WITH LOCAL BUSINESSES AND COLLEGES AND UNIVERSITIES.

LANL NEEDS TO FIND A WAY TO LOBBY THE GOVERNMENT WITHOUT LOBBYING THEM BECAUSE THAT IS ILLEGAL.

LANL'S CORPORATE HEAVY ADMINISTRATION OVERHEAD SEEMS PLAGUED BY ASSOCIATE DIRECTORS WHO ARE PAID A LOT OF MONEY, WHILE JOE PUBLIC IS LAID OFF. WORKFORCE COSTS JOB REDUCTIONS AT THE BOTTOM LEVEL ONLY. THOSE CUTS NEED TO BE FAIRLY DISTRIBUTED.

LEADERSHIP BREAKFASTS ARE VERY IMPORTANT AND DIRECTOR'S ATTENDANCE IS CRITICAL REGARDING COMMUNICATION.

LETTERS TO THE DIRECTOR OF LANL SHOULD BE MET WITH AT LEAST AN ACKNOWLEDGEMENT. LACK OF PROFESSIONALISM.

LOOKING INTO THE FUTURE, COMMUNITY CHALLENGES AND LAB CHALLENGES ARE A RESULT OF WORLD CHANGES. NEED TO BE COMMUNICATED TO COMMUNITIES AND HOW TO BE PREPARED FOR THESE CHANGES.

MANAGEMENT OF ANY LABORATORY AT NATIONAL LEVEL SHOULD BE DONE BY A COMPANY THAT DOESN'T RECEIVE BONUSES BY THE LAB AT THE EXPENSE OF THE COMMUNITY, ESPECIALLY WHEN MANAGEMENT IS OUT OF STATE.

MENTORING OF STUDENTS IN **TIZR 2** (ANY IDEA WHAT THIS REALLY IS?) BY LAB NEEDS TO BE DONE. THIS WILL HELP OUR COMMUNITY AND KEEP QUALIFIED YOUTH WORKING HERE.

MORE EXPOSURE FOR STUDENTS TO VISIT AND DO GUIDED TOURS IN CERTAIN AREAS.

MORE OUTREACH WITH CHAMBER OF COMMERCE AND OTHER LITTLE CHAMBERS TO BRING THEM INTO THE PICTURE.

MOST OF THE SCIENTISTS ARE UNHAPPY WITH BECHTEL CORP. I APPLAUD THEIR CLEAN-UP EFFORTS. THE CMRR BUILDING IS A WASTE OF MONEY. IT'S A SIX MILLION DOLLAR PROJECT FOR I DON'T KNOW WHAT. I AM CONCERNED ABOUT HAZARDOUS MATERIALS TRAVELING THROUGH SANTA FE COUNTY. I WOULD LIKE THEM TO WORK WITH ME, THE COUNTY OR EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT TEAM IN ORDER TO WORK OUT A PLAN FOR A POTENTIAL ACCIDENT IN SANTA FE COUNTY.

NEED CAMPAIGN TO DRIVE HOME ECONOMIC SIGNIFICANCE OF LAB IN NORTHERN NEW MEXICO.

NEGATIVE PERCEPTION OF LOS ALAMOS NEEDS TO BE CHANGED. LANL DOES WONDERFUL THINGS AND THAT INFORMATION NEEDS TO GET OUT THERE AND BE HEARD. PUT A MORE HUMAN FACE ON WHAT THE LAB DOES.

NO, I THINK THEY'RE DOING A GOOD JOB, THE BEST THEY CAN DO. DUE TO IMPROVED ECONOMICS AND ENGINEERING, OUR AREA ONCE WAS A THIRD WORLD COUNTRY AND NOW IT IS A PROGRESSIVE PLACE BECAUSE OF LANL'S PRESENCE HERE OVER THE YEARS.

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS REGARDING LABORATORY IN GENERAL (CONTINUED)

*QUESTION 28 (CONTINUED): IS THERE ANYTHING REGARDING THE LABORATORY THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON?*

NO, WE NEED LANL IN NEW MEXICO AND UNITED STATES AND OUR CITIES, AS WELL. IT IS VERY IMPORTANT FOR US TO KNOW THAT IT IS THERE TO HELP OUT.

NOT BEING REALISTIC ABOUT THE ECONOMIC IMPACT THE CUTBACKS PRESENT.

NOT CARING ABOUT THOSE THAT ARE RETIRING. IT'S EVERYTHING INCLUDING THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT. LANL, NORTHERN NEW MEXICO DOESN'T KNOW THAT IT'S A LITTLE PIECE OF THE PUZZLE IN THE WHOLE PICTURE NATIONALLY. MY TRIP TO WASHINGTON DC REALLY OPENED MY EYES.

NOT EVERYTHING THAT IS GOING ON AT THE LAB IS TOP SECRET. IT IS IMPORTANT TO KEEP ABREAST OF THE LATEST ADVANCEMENTS IN SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY AND THE LAB SHARE LITTLE TO NO INFORMATION.

NOT PRESENTLY SATISFIED WITH THE LAB INVOLVEMENT WITH THE UNITED WAY.

ONE OR TWO ALWAYS IN CHARGE OF DOING BUSINESS WITH LANL, AND DOES NOT ALLOW FOR SMALLER OR NEWER BUSINESSES TO "BREAK IN". WOULD HELP ALSO IF THEY HAD MORE THAN ONE PoC AT LANL. TOO MUCH POWER FOR ONE PERSON AND A BOTTLE NECK OCCURS WHEN ISSUES NEED TO BE REMEDIED/RESOLVED. PoC AT LANL FOR CONTRACTS DOES NOT COMMUNICATE IN A TIMELY MANNER, AND THERE IS NO ONE ELSE TO CONTACT REGARDING EMERGENCIES. AND IF THE PoC DOES NOT LIKE YOU, THEY HAVE THE ABILITY TO BLACK BALL YOU FROM LANL CONTRACTS AND HIRE WHOEVER BASED ON WHATEVER AND AT THE CONVENIENCE OF THE PoC AT LANL.

OVERALL VERY RESPONSIVE. SOMETIMES DIFFICULT TO FIND WAYS TO COMPLEMENT EACH OTHER (COMMUNITY AND LAB) DUE TO LANL BEING SO LARGE.

OVERALL, GREAT BENEFIT TO NORTHERN NEW MEXICO.

PLEASE CLEANUP THE RADIOACTIVE CLOUD.

PLEASED LAB HAS SUPPORTED EXPANSION OF SEISMIC MONITORING PROJECT IN VALLES CALDERA. WOULD LIKE TO SEE MORE FOCUS ON MONITORING NATURAL AND CULTURAL RESOURCES.

POOR JOB WITH SUPPORT AND FUNDING FOR ENVIRONMENTAL STEWARDSHIP PROGRAM. NO RESPECT FOR COMMUNITIES INCLUDING PUEBLOS.

REALIZE YOUR ENORMOUS IMPACT ON EACH AND EVERY PERSON IN NEW MEXICO. LANL'S PRIORITY NEEDS TO REMAIN SAFETY AND WELL-BEING OF OUR CITIZENS FROM RADIOACTIVITY.

REALLY ENJOY THE INFORMATION IMPARTED TO TEACHERS.

SINCE LAB WENT INTO DIFFERENT MANAGEMENT, I THINK LAB HAS BEEN GOING BACKWARD.

MORE EFFORT SHOULD BE MADE TO WORK ON BUDGET ISSUES. WORK ON GETTING MORE SUPPORT FROM CONGRESSIONAL DELEGATION AND LOCAL GOVERNMENTS.

SOME MORE PROACTIVE APPROACHES REGARDING REMEDIATION FROM LAST YEARS' FIRE WOULD BE NICE.

STAFF CUTS WITH LOCAL CONTRACTORS ARE UNFAIR.

STATUS OF AREA G - RADIOACTIVE AREA. I DO NOT KNOW IF IT IS REALLY DANGEROUS OR NOT. LANL'S PEOPLE ARE SO COURTEOUS AND INFORMATIVE. THEY ARE GOOD TO ME. RICK ULIBARRI, THE LIAISON VERY MUCH TELLS US THE FACTS AND I APPRECIATE THAT.

THE CORPORATION IS NOT LISTENING TO LOCAL GOVERNMENTS. PEOPLE SHOULD NOT HIDE BEHIND CORPORATIONS.

THE EDUCATION PERSON, BARBARA TENORIA GRINDS DOES A GOOD JOB. SHE KEEPS UP WITH THE EDUCATION AND STUDENTS.

THE FUTURE OF LAB IS GREATLY CONNECTED TO THE FUTURE OF NORTHERN NEW MEXICO.

SO PARTNERSHIPS WITH LOCAL BUSINESSES AND EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS ARE IMPORTANT.

THE LAB DEFINITELY NEEDS THE US SENATORS' AND THE US REPRESENTATIVES' FULL SUPPORT TO BE EFFECTIVE.

THE LAB NEEDS TO FIGHT TO STAY.

THE LABORATORY TRIES TO DO THINGS THEY ARE NOT PARTICULARLY GOOD AT. NEED TO PUT MORE FOCUS ON COLLABORATIVE EFFORTS WITH THE CONTRACTORS AVAILABLE.

THE LAB IS A VITAL ECONOMIC ENGINE THAT HELPS ABOUT THIRTY PERCENT OF OUR POPULATION IN RIO ARriba COUNTY. IT IS CRITICALLY IMPORTANT FOR OUR FAMILIES AND OUR ECONOMY HERE. ONE OF THE CONCERNS THAT I HAVE IN MY COMMUNITY, LOS ALAMOS, AS A CORPORATION IN UNFAIR OR THEY RECRUIT PEOPLE FROM OTHER STATES SO THAT IS ONE JOB LOST LOCALLY AS EACH PERSON WHO COMES FROM OUT OF STATE. IT'S HAPPENING A LOT. IT'S A BIG ISSUE AND IT CONCERNS US A LOT. IF IT'S SECURITY, SECRETARIAL THOSE JOBS STAY LOCALLY. THE "PRO-FORCE" IS TAKING PEOPLE FROM OUT OF STATE.

THE MORE COLLABORATIVE THEY CAN BE INSTEAD OF UNILATERALLY DECIDING WHAT NEEDS TO BE DONE WOULD BE MORE EFFECTIVE IN ALL AREAS REGARDING LANL AND THE COMMUNITY'S NEEDS.

THE NEED TO COMMUNICATE MORE EFFECTIVELY WITH THE PUEBLOS. THE NEED TO GIVE RAW DATA TO US BEFORE IT GOES TO THE PUBLIC SO WE CAN COMPARE DATA BEFORE IT GOES PUBLIC.

THEIR TRANSFER TECHNOLOGY COULD BE MORE EFFECTIVE AT COMMERCIALIZING NEW TECHNOLOGIES.

THEIR WORK WITH RDC HAS BEEN OUTSTANDING. THE PUEBLO I WORK AT HAS BENEFITTED FROM THAT. I WOULD LIKE TO SEE THEIR PROCUREMENT DEPARTMENT BECOME MUCH BETTER ORGANIZED. IT IS TOO DIFFICULT, LONG AND CLUMSY. PROCUREMENTS ADVERTISED SOONER AND IT TAKES SO LONG IT CONTRACTS NEED TO BE AWARDED SOONER. RIGHT NOW IF YOU GET THE WORK, IT IS NO LONGER NEEDED BY THE LAB.

THERE COULD BE MORE EMPHASIS IN OTHER SCIENTIFIC EFFORTS IMPORTANT TO THE NATION. THESE QUESTIONS SEEM TO BE LEADING TO HOW GOOD LANL THINKS THEY ARE. I DOUBT MUCH WILL COME OUT OF THIS.

THEY ARE DOING A GREAT JOBS AND I HAVE SAID ENOUGH.

THEY NEED TO REALLY INVEST THEIR DOLLARS WITH TRUE NORTHERN NEW MEXICO BUSINESS. TO CONTINUE FUNDING ALL PROGRAMS FROM OUTREACH TO NONPROFIT. THERE NEEDS TO BE GREATER APPRECIATION FOR THE LAB AND THE SERVICES THEY PROVIDE.

USE THE TECHNOLOGY FOR CURING CANCER, DIABETES AND OTHER DISEASES AND LESSEN THEIR EFFORTS ON WEAPONS AND MOON RESEARCH.

VERY HAPPY WITH EDUCATION COMPONENT.

VERY HELPFUL WITH THE STEM PROGRAM AND MATH COUNTS AND EVERYTHING DONE IN EDUCATION AREA IN SANTA FE.

VERY IMPRESSED WITH COMMUNITY OUTREACH.

VERY SUPPORTIVE OF MANHATTAN PROJECT HISTORIC PARK.

WE ARE GRATEFUL TO HAVE THEM IN OUR COMMUNITY.

WE FOCUS ON CONTINUATION OF LANL OPERATIONS SO WE DON'T LOSE SEQUESTRATION OR BY ANY FEDERAL CUTS.

## ADDITIONAL COMMENTS OR SUGGESTIONS REGARDING LABORATORY IN GENERAL (CONTINUED)

QUESTION 28 (CONTINUED): IS THERE ANYTHING REGARDING THE LABORATORY THAT YOU WOULD LIKE TO COMMENT ON?

WE HAVE BITS AND PIECES OF WHAT'S GOING ON WITH THE CLEAN-UP ISSUES. IT'S ONLY FAIR THAT WE KNOW WHAT THE EFFORTS ARE BEFORE IT'S TOO LATE. MANY COMMUNITIES ARE BEING IMPACTED BY THIS.

WE NEED A GOOD REPLACEMENT SENATOR IN WASHINGTON.

WE NEED TO CONTINUE TO RETAIN BUSINESS AND JOB PRODUCTION WORK AT LANL TO PARTNER COOPERATIVELY WITH LANL AND OBTAIN A PARTNERSHIP WITH SANTA CLARA PUEBLO AND SENECA DEVELOPMENT CORPORATION.

WE REALLY APPRECIATE LANL AND WITHOUT THE LABORATORY, WE WOULD NOT HAVE ENOUGH JOBS. THE NATIVE AMERICAN INDIAN CASINOS PROVIDE A LOT OF JOBS, BUT LANL PROVIDES MANY MORE JOBS FOR OUR PEOPLE.

WE WOULD JUST LIKE TO SEE A BETTER WORKING RELATIONSHIP WITH THE TRIBES. SOMETIMES WE DON'T GET THE INFORMATION UNTIL THE LAST MINUTE.

WISH PETE DOMINICI WAS BACK IN THE SADDLE. THINGS ARE DETERIORATING.

WISH WE HAD A STRONGER CONGRESSIONAL COMPONENT TO SUPPORT US FINANCIALLY.

WONDERFUL JOB.

WONDERFUL PARTNER. EXCEEDED MY EXPECTATIONS. SUPPORT HAS BEEN ASTRONOMICAL. WORKING TOGETHER/PARTNERING WITH THE LAB HERE HELPED OUR COLLEAGUES MAINTAIN OUR FOCUS.

WOULD LIKE MORE COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE GRASSROOTS ORGANIZATIONS.

WOULD LIKE TO BE ABLE TO PROVIDE THE INFORMATION TO LOBBYISTS TO CONTINUE FUNDING PROGRAMS AT THE LAB.

WOULD LIKE TO SEE A BETTER VISION ON THE STRATEGY OF THE LAB.


YES THEY NEED BETTER PUBLIC RELATIONS ON THE SCIENCE, RELATED OR NOT RELATED TO THE CORE MISSION OF NUCLEAR WEAPONRY.

YES, COALITIONS IS VERY ACTIVE IN TRYING TO SECURE FUNDING FROM LANL. WE NEED MORE FUNDING FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CLEANUP' AND ALSO FUNDING FOR OUR CMRR BUILDING AS WELL.

YES. IT'S THAT WE NEED LANL AS IT ADDS A LOT HERE ECONOMICALLY. THE GOVERNMENT SHOULD HAVE FUNDED THAT NEW BUILDING.



# VI. DEMOGRAPHICS




## Demographics of Sample

(Weighted)

	<i>Total Sample (n=241)</i>
<b><u>Gender</u></b>	
Male	60%
Female	40%
<b><u>County</u></b>	
Santa Fe	35%
Los Alamos	21%
Rio Arriba	20%
Taos	7%
Sandoval	6%
San Miguel	5%
Other New Mexico	7%
<b><u>Organizational Sector</u></b>	
Economic/business	35%
Education	24%
Governmental	22%
Tribal	16%
Special Interest Groups	4%

VII. QUESTIONNAIRE



**Los Alamos National Laboratory Community Leaders**  
**August 2012**  
**FINAL**  
**N = 304 possible**

**Hello, may I speak to (name on list)?** (IF UNAVAILABLE, ASK FOR A GOOD TIME TO CALL BACK OR SCHEDULE AN APPOINTMENT WITH THE SECRETARY)

**Hello. My name is YOUR NAME from Research & Polling, Inc. I'm calling on behalf of Los Alamos National Laboratory. We are conducting a survey among community leaders such as yourself, throughout the Northern New Mexico region. The Laboratory would appreciate your opinions on some key issues.**

**A. NOTE TO POLLER: WHICH COUNTY IS THIS?**

- |               |                       |
|---------------|-----------------------|
| 1. Los Alamos | 6. San Miguel         |
| 2. Rio Arriba | 7. Mora               |
| 3. Santa Fe   | 8. Other New Mexico   |
| 4. Sandoval   | 9. Other Out-of-State |
| 5. Taos       |                       |

**B. NOTE TO POLLER: WHICH ORGANIZATIONAL SECTOR IS THIS?**

- |                                     |   |
|-------------------------------------|---|
| 1. Governmental (Possible 74)       | 4. Tribal (Possible 63)                 |
| 2. Economic/business (Possible 107) | 5. Special Interest Groups (Possible 9) |
| 3. Education (Possible 51)          |   |

1. **What would you say is the single biggest challenge facing Northern New Mexico today? (DO NOT READ CATEGORIES. UP TO 3 RESPONSES)**

**Crime:**

- 001. Illegal drug use
- 002. Crime rate
- 003. Gangs
- 004. DWI rate
- 005. Police/legal system
- 006. Violent crime

**Social/Cultural:**

- 007. Alcoholism
- 008. Programs/activities for youth
- 009. Domestic violence/family problems
- 010. Welfare reform

**Economy:**

- 011. Economy: weak
- 012. Non-availability of good jobs
- 013. Lack of economic opportunities
- 014. Low wages
- 015. Lack of skilled labor/labor force
- 016. Lack of training for good jobs
- 017. Lack of effective workforce development programs/training for unemployed
- 018. Local government budget deficit
- 019. Taxes are high/unreasonable
- 020. Cost of housing is high/unreasonable
- 021. Availability of low income/affordable homes
- 022. Cost of living is high/unreasonable
- 023. Not enough private business
- 024. Economic diversification
- 025. Growing too big/too fast

**Education:**

- 026. Educational system is poor
- 027. Quality of school facilities
- 028. Quality of teachers
- 029. Low pay for teachers

- 498. Nothing in particular
- 499. Don't know
- 500. Won't say

Other (SPECIFY) \_\_\_\_\_

**Environment:**

- 030. Fire/risk of fire
- 031. Environment/polluted air
- 032. Drought
- 033. Nuclear waste transport
- 034. WIPP/radioactive waste

**Miscellaneous:**

- 035. Affordable day care
- 036. Lack of services for the disabled
- 037. Lack of services for elderly
- 038. Gambling/lottery
- 039. People don't vote
- 040. Government/political leadership is incompetent
- 041. Government/political leadership is crooked
- 042. Gun control
- 043. Healthcare reform
- 044. High price of gasoline/fuel
- 045. Homeless
- 046. Illiteracy
- 047. Land development out of control
- 048. Master planning
- 049. Military presence
- 050. Sewers/drains
- 051. Tourism is ruining the area
- 052. Decline of workplace values

**Traffic:**

- 053. Noise
- 054. Congestion
- 055. Roads/streets/highways are bad
- 056. Constant street maintenance/orange barrels

**Water:**

- 057. Water shortages/reserves
- 058. Don't have city water utilities
- 059. Water quality/pollution

2. **Generally, what is your impression of Los Alamos National Laboratory? Using a 5-point scale in which 5 is *very favorable* and 1 is *very unfavorable*, what is your impression of Los Alamos National Laboratory?**

<u>Very Favorable</u>		<u>Very Unfavorable</u>	<u>Don't Know</u>	<u>Won't Say</u>
5 .....	4 .....	3 .....	2 .....	1 .....
			6 .....	7

3. **Companies, like individuals, can be members of the community. How would you rate Los Alamos National Laboratory as a corporate citizen in Northern New Mexico? Please use a 5-point scale where 5 means Los Alamos National Laboratory is *outstanding* and 1 means they are *unacceptable*.**

<u>Outstanding</u>		<u>Unacceptable</u>	<u>Don't Know</u>	<u>Won't Say</u>
5 .....	4 .....	3 .....	2 .....	1 .....
			6 .....	7

4. **Using a 5-point scale where 5 is *very favorable* and 1 is *very unfavorable*, what is your overall impression of the Laboratory's Management and Operations contractor, Los Alamos National Security, LLC?**

<u>Very Favorable</u>		<u>Very Unfavorable</u>	<u>Don't Know</u>	<u>Won't Say</u>
5 .....	4 .....	3 .....	2 .....	1 .....
			6 .....	7

5. **What are the top three ways that you receive information about Los Alamos National Laboratory? (DO NOT READ CATEGORIES) (TAKE UP TO 3 RESPONSES)**

001. Newspapers	010. Neighbors/friends/family
002. Television	011. Press releases
003. Radio	012. Monthly electronic newsletter/ Connections (email)
004. Internet	013. I work there
005. Laboratory website	014. Lab employees
006. Laboratory meetings	015. Twitter
007. Quarterly regional leaders' breakfast	016. Facebook
008. Other meetings/talks	
009. Newspaper advertising	

499. Don't know  
500. Won't say

Other (SPECIFY) \_\_\_\_\_

**6. In what ways would you prefer to receive information about LANL and the programs and services the Lab offers? (DO NOT READ CATEGORIES) (TAKE UP TO 3 RESPONSES)**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 001. Newspapers                            | 011. News releases   |
| 002. Television                            | 012. Monthly electronic newsletter/<br>Connections (email) |
| 003. Radio                                 | 013. LANL email updates                                    |
| 004. Internet                              | 014. I work there  |
| 005. Laboratory website                    | 015. Lab employees   |
| 006. Laboratory meetings                   | 016. Los Alamos Report                                     |
| 007. Quarterly regional leaders' breakfast | 017. LANL Facebook   |
| 008. Other meetings/talks                  | 018. Twitter   |
| 009. Newspaper advertising                 |  |
| 010. Neighbors/friends/family              |  |
| 499. Don't know                            |  |
| 500. Won't say                             |  |

Other (SPECIFY) \_\_\_\_\_

**I'm going to read you a list of items about Los Alamos National Laboratory and please tell me how satisfied you are with each one. Would you say you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied with...(READ STATEMENT)**

(RANDOMIZE)	Very Satisfied	Somewhat Satisfied	Somewhat Dissatisfied	Very Dissatisfied	Don't Know	Won't Say
7. The Lab's efforts to listen to the perspectives of the Northern New Mexico communities	4	3	2	1	5	6
8. The Lab's efforts to respond to the perspectives of the Northern New Mexico communities	4	3	2	1	5	6
9. The overall impact that the Lab has on the economy of the Northern New Mexico communities	4	3	2	1	5	6
10. The Lab's efforts to provide effective environmental stewardship, monitoring, and remediation	4	3	2	1	5	6
11. The Lab's involvement in Northern New Mexico through employee giving campaigns, school and holiday drives, and volunteer programs	4	3	2	1	5	6
12. The overall impact that the Lab has on education in the Northern New Mexico communities	4	3	2	1	5	6

Generally, how would you rate the effectiveness of Los Alamos National Laboratory partnerships? Would you say the following partnerships have been *very effective*, *somewhat effective*, *somewhat ineffective* or *very ineffective*? The first is Los Alamos National Laboratory's partnership...

(RANDOMIZE)	<u>Very Effective</u>	<u>Somewhat Effective</u>	<u>Somewhat Ineffective</u>	<u>Very Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Don't Know</u>	<u>Won't Say</u>
13. With the business community in Northern New Mexico .....	4	3	2	1	5	6
14. With the school districts, colleges, and universities in Northern New Mexico .....	4	3	2	1	5	6
15. With local county and municipal governments in Northern New Mexico .....	4	3	2	1	5	6
16. With Tribal governments and Tribal agencies .....	4	3	2	1	5	6
17. With State government agencies .....	4	3	2	1	5	6
18. With the State Legislature .....	4	3	2	1	5	6
19. With community nonprofit organizations .....	4	3	2	1	5	6

Please rate if you are *very satisfied*, *somewhat satisfied*, *somewhat dissatisfied*, or *very dissatisfied* with Los Alamos National Laboratory's efforts in the following areas.

(RANDOMIZE)	<u>Very Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Satisfied</u>	<u>Somewhat Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Very Dissatisfied</u>	<u>Don't Know</u>	<u>Won't Say</u>
20. The Lab's efforts to purchase goods and services from businesses in Northern New Mexico communities during the last year .....	4	3	2	1	5	6
21. The education programs offered by LANL such as the Bradbury Museum, Math and Science Academy, Supercomputing Challenge, scholarships, and partnerships with New Mexico Colleges and Universities .....	4	3	2	1	5	6
22. The methods available for you to communicate with Los Alamos National Laboratory to voice your needs, concerns, and ideas .....	4	3	2	1	5	6
23. The Lab's economic development programs such as business mentoring, the Venture Acceleration Fund, and the New Mexico Small Business Assistance Program .....	4	3	2	1	5	6
24. The Lab's technology transfer program .....	4	3	2	1	5	6



**25. Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the Lab's efforts to improve education initiatives?**

---

---

---

999. No other comments/suggestions

**26. Do you have any other comments or suggestions about the Lab's efforts to improve economic development initiatives?**

---

---

---

999. No other comments/suggestions

**27. Do you have any other comments about the Lab's efforts to work with non-profit organizations?**

---

---

---

999. No other comments/suggestions

**28. Is there anything regarding the Laboratory that you would like to comment on?**

---

---

---

999. No other comments/suggestions

**THIS CONCLUDES OUR SURVEY. THANK YOU FOR YOUR TIME. HAVE A GOOD DAY.**

**NOTE TO INTERVIEWER, WAS RESPONDENT:**

1. Male
2. Female

**Respondent's Phone Number** \_\_\_\_\_

**Interviewer Name** \_\_\_\_\_

**Interviewer Code** \_\_\_\_\_